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Abstract: Short-carbon-fibers (Csf) reinforced Ti₃SiC₂ matrix composites (Csf/Ti₃SiC₂, the Csf content was 0 vol%, 2 vol%, 5 vol%, and 10 vol%) were fabricated by spark plasma sintering (SPS) using Ti₃SiC₂ powders and Csf as starting materials at 1300 °C. The effects of Csf addition on the phase compositions, microstructures, and mechanical properties (including hardness, flexural strength (σf), and KIC) of Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites were investigated. The Csf, with bi-layered transition layers, i.e., TiC and SiC layers, were homogeneously distributed in the as-prepared Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites. With the increase of Csf content, the KIC of Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites increased, but the σf decreased, and the Vickers hardness decreased initially and then increased steadily when the Csf content was higher than 2 vol%. These changed performances (hardness, σf, and KIC) could be attributed to the introduction of Csf and the formation of stronger interfacial phases.
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1 Introduction

Ti₃SiC₂, a typical member of the MAX phase family, is a ternary layered compound. It has attracted extensive attention for its unique combination of metals and ceramic properties, such as low density, easy machinability, high melting point, high tensile strength and damage tolerance, excellent thermal shock resistance, good chemical stability, and oxidation resistance (< 900 °C) [1–7]. Therefore, Ti₃SiC₂ is a potential structural material for applications in environments of high temperature, oxidation, corrosion, and wear, etc. [1,2,7,8]. However, low fracture toughness (KIC) and hardness limit its practical applications. The introduction of the second phase as reinforcement into Ti₃SiC₂ has been proved to
be an effective approach to overcome these disadvantages. For example, numerous reinforcements, including TiB₂ [9], SiC [10], c-BN [11], TiC [12], Al₂O₃ [13], and ZrO₂ [14], have been introduced to improve the mechanical properties of Ti₃SiC₂. Commonly, the addition of ceramic particles can improve Vickers hardness and flexural strength (σf) of Ti₃SiC₂ matrix composites. For example, Górny et al. [9] reported that the addition of TiB₂ could effectively improve the Vickers hardness and elastic modulus of Ti₃SiC₂. Tian et al. [12] prepared Ti₃SiC₂–TiC composites and found that the Vickers hardness of the composites increased with increasing TiC content up to 90 vol%, and the σf was enhanced by 64% when the content of TiC was 50 vol%. Wang et al. [13] fabricated Ti₃SiC₂–xAl₂O₃ (x = 0–20 vol%) composites by spark plasma sintering (SPS), and found that Ti₃SiC₂–20 vol% Al₂O₃ exhibited the highest Vickers hardness, but other mechanical properties deteriorated because of the aggregation of Al₂O₃ in the composite. On the other hand, the toughness of Ti₃SiC₂ matrix composites has hardly ever been strengthened due to intrinsic brittleness of these ceramic phases.

Carbon fibers, with numerous attractively comprehensive properties, such as low density, high strength and modulus, excellent chemical inertness, and small thermal expansion coefficient, are considered to be the most promising reinforcing phase for many structural ceramics [15–17]. Especially, short-carbon-fibers (Csf) have been applied extensively in the preparation of ceramic matrix composites by using SPS or hot-pressing (HP), due to their low cost, easy addition, and chemical inert at high temperatures. Csf reinforced ceramic matrix composites usually possess relatively higher KIC. For example, Wang et al. [18] fabricated Csf (up to 1 wt%) reinforced B₄C composites, and found that the composites had higher KIC compared with monolithic B₄C due to the occurrence of crack deflection and bridging resulting from interface debonding between fiber and matrix. Similarly, when Csf was introduced in ZrB₂–SiC composites, the toughness of the composites was significantly improved, resulting from fiber debonding, pulling-out, and bridging as well as crack deflection [19]. Unfortunately, the literature on Csf reinforced Ti₃SiC₂ composites is relatively scarce, only Lagos et al. [20] prepared Ti₃SiC₂–Cf composites by SPS, and the processing, microstructure, and thermo-mechanical properties (thermal expansion coefficient and thermal conductivity) were investigated, but the mechanical properties of Csf reinforced Ti₃SiC₂ composites are not available.

In this work, Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites (the content of Csf was 0 vol%, 2 vol%, 5 vol%, and 10 vol%) were fabricated by using the SPS technique. The interfacial microstructure between Csf and Ti₃SiC₂ matrix and the effects of Csf introduction on room-temperature mechanical properties of Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites were systematically investigated, and the role of Csf addition played in reinforcement effect was also discussed.

2 Experimental

2.1 Material preparation

Ti₃SiC₂ powders (Forsman Technology Co., Beijing, China) and Csf (ZLXC, Co., Cangzhou, China) were used as raw materials. The purity and mean particle size of Ti₃SiC₂ powders was 98% and 3–5 µm, respectively, and the main impurity was Al₂O₃. The length and diameter of Csf were 3 mm and 7 µm, respectively. Four Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites with Csf volume fractions of 0 vol%, 2 vol%, 5 vol%, and 10 vol% were fabricated using SPS (SPS-30T-15-3, Chenhua (Shanghai) Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). For convenience of the following description, the composites were denoted as 2, 5, and 10 Csf/Ti₃SiC₂, accordingly.

The preparation process of Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. Firstly, Csf was dispersed into deionized water with carboxymethyl cellulose sodium (CMC–Na) as the dispersant [21]. The mass ratio of CMC–Na and Csf was 1:3. Then, Ti₃SiC₂ powders were added into the as-prepared solution. After stirring with a magnetic stirrer, the slurry of Ti₃SiC₂ powders and Csf was obtained. Dried in an oven, the mixed material was packed into cylindrical graphite die. Following, a green body with a diameter of ϕ 40 mm was obtained by cold pressing under a load of 20 MPa. Subsequently, the sintering was conducted in the SPS facility. After the vacuum of 10 Pa in the sintering chamber was acquired, the green body was heated to 1300 °C, under the constant pressure of 40 MPa soaked for 8 min and the heating rate of 50 °C/min. The as-prepared composite was then cooled to room temperature in the sintering chamber. All composites were fabricated under such identical SPS conditions.
2.2 Characterizations of composition and microstructure of the composites

The actual densities of Csf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites were determined by Archimedes’ method. The phase compositions were performed on a D/max-2400 X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with a Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.1542 nm). The tube voltage was 50 kV, and the current was 100 mA. The 2θ range was (8°–80°) with a count time of 1 s per 0.02 (°)/step. The microstructure, cross-section morphologies, and fracture surfaces of the composites were performed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, Oberkochen, Germany, EHT = 20.00 kV) with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS, Oxford Instruments, UK) in a vacuum.

2.3 Determinations of mechanical properties

For mechanical tests, the samples were cut into a series of bars from the as-prepared composites by electrical discharge machining. Before testing, the surfaces of all samples were sanded to 2000 SiC sandpaper, polished to a mirror surface with 1.0 mm diamond paste, then ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol and distilled water, and finally dried.

The Vickers hardness test was carried out using Vickers indenter (432SVD, WOLPERT, USA) at a load of 9.8 N for 15 s. The parallel operations were conducted nine times, and finally, the mean value was obtained for each sample.

All mechanical performance tests were conducted on a universal testing machine (SANS, CMT4204, Shenzhen, China). Three samples in each group were used for the σᵣ and Kᵢc measurement.

Three-point bending tests were employed to determine σᵣ. The dimensions of rectangular samples were 3 mm × 4 mm × 34 mm. During tests, the crosshead speed and support span was 0.5 mm/min and 30 mm, respectively. The σᵣ was calculated by the following Eq. (1):

$$\sigma_r = \frac{3FL}{2BW^2}$$  \hspace{1cm}(1)

where F (N) is the maximum load, L is the support span, and B and W are the width and height of the rectangular sample, respectively.

Four-point bending tests were employed to determine Kᵢc. The dimensions of rectangular samples were 4 mm × 8 mm × 34 mm. The tested bars were machined as the single-edge-notched-beam (SENB) with a depth of 4 mm and a width of 0.2 mm. During tests, the crosshead speed was 0.05 mm/min, and the inner span and outer span were 10 and 30 mm, respectively. The Kᵢc was calculated by the following Eqs. (2) and (3):

$$K_{ic} = \frac{F}{B W^{3/2}} f\left(\frac{C}{W}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm}(2)

$$f\left(\frac{C}{W}\right) = 2.9\left(\frac{C}{W}\right)^{1/2} - 4.6\left(\frac{C}{W}\right)^{3/2} + 21.8\left(\frac{C}{W}\right)^{5/2} - 37.6\left(\frac{C}{W}\right)^{3/2} + 38.7\left(\frac{C}{W}\right)^{9/2}$$  \hspace{1cm}(3)

where S is the span distance and C is the notch length.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Phase compositions of the composites

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2 composites with various volume amounts of C_{sf}. For Ti_3SiC_2 and 2 C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2, the main phase was identified as Ti_3SiC_2, and a small amount of Al_2O_3 impurity could be detected. However, when the content of C_{sf} was increased to 5 vol% and 10 vol%, two impurities of TiC and SiC appeared, respectively. The peak intensities of TiC and SiC increased simultaneously with an increase of C_{sf} content in C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2 composites. In C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2 composites, the peaks of C_{sf} were not detected by XRD, which was caused by the low weight content of C_{sf} in the composite (the maximum theoretical value was 4.1 wt%) and some of the fibers were consumed due to the harsh interface reaction between C_{sf} and Ti_3SiC_2. The formation of TiC and SiC was caused mainly by the interface reaction between C_{sf} and Ti_3SiC_2 [22], which will be discussed in the following section.

3.2 Microstructures of the composites

SEM micrographs of the polished surfaces of the as-prepared Ti_3SiC_2 and C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2 composites are presented in Fig. 3. Minor micro-pores existed in the Ti_3SiC_2 sample (Fig. 3(a)), and the black spots belong to the Al_2O_3 phase. For the C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2 composites (Figs. 3(b)–3(d)), the black circle-like and stripe-like C_{sf} were uniformly dispersed in the gray Ti_3SiC_2 matrix and exhibited various orientations in a three-dimensional (3D) space. Additionally, the length of C_{sf} in the Ti_3SiC_2 matrix was shorter than their original length (3–5 mm). The reduction in C_{sf} length is caused by the applied pressure during the sintering process or part of the C_{sf} is hidden in the inner of the samples [23]. No pores were found in these composites, indicating that the well-dispersed C_{sf} could promote the densification of the Ti_3SiC_2 matrix. The most conceivable reason is that the incorporation of C_{sf} resulted in the existence of more phase boundaries, which is beneficial to the elimination of pores. In addition, C_{sf} well dispersed in the Ti_3SiC_2 matrix also confirms the desirability of preparing such composites by magnetic stirring mixing combined with SPS.

![Fig. 2 XRD patterns of C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2 composites with the different contents of C_{sf}. The inset shows the enlarged views in the 2θ range of 33°–43°.](image)

![Fig. 3 SEM micrographs of the polished surfaces of (a) Ti_3SiC_2, (b) 2 C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2, (c) 5 C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2, and (d) 10 C_{sf}/Ti_3SiC_2.](image)
To get a better understanding of the interface reaction and phase evolution, the polished cross-section morphology of 10 Csf/Ti3SiC2 was observed by SEM, and the element distribution was identified by EDS. The results are presented in Fig. 4. According to Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), there were two carbon fibers, whose orientation was perpendicular to the viewing plane. No pores and defects appeared at the interface zone, and a chemical reaction between Csf and Ti3SiC2 matrix was observed. The phase composition of the selected zones 1–5 in Fig. 4(b) were determined by EDS, as listed in Table 1. It was found that the interface phase with a duplex structure was formed between Csf and Ti3SiC2 matrix. Based on EDS analysis results, the inner interface layer adjacent to Csf was identified as TiC, and the outer interface layer was SiC. Such a result was quite different from the results of Ti3SiC2–Cf composites prepared by Lagos et al. [20]. The main reason for the formation of the interface microstructure is that our experiment actively reduces the sintering temperature of the samples to slow down the interface reaction activity, and increases the holding time from 5 to 8 min to increase the relative density of the Csf/Ti3SiC2 composites.

The interfacial reaction mechanism can be proposed tentatively based on the above SEM observations and EDS results. Although the decomposition of Ti3SiC2 is affected by many factors, such as temperature, pressure, atmospheric conditions, it is generally considered to be stable at the temperature of 1300 °C. The free energy change (ΔG) of the decomposition reaction of Ti3SiC2 is about 181 kJ/mol at 1600 K [24–26]. From Figs. 2 and 3(a), we also confirmed that pure Ti3SiC2 can maintain thermal stability at about 1300 °C. Therefore, the above-mentioned phenomenon could be attributed to the environment-dependent decomposition behavior of Ti3SiC2, i.e., a carbon-rich environment will promote the decomposition of Ti3SiC2 and transform them into TiC0.67 and Si [26–28], as described by the following reaction:

$$Ti_3SiC_2 \rightarrow 3TiC_{0.67} + Si (g) \quad (\Delta G(1600 \, K) = 181 \, kJ/mol) \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, carbon fibers constitute a C-source whereas the reaction between carbon fibers and Ti3SiC2 (Eq. (4)) leads to the formation of a Si-source. Thus,

![Fig. 4](image)

(a) SEM image of the polished cross section of 10 Csf/Ti3SiC2; (b) element mappings in the white frame area in Fig. 4(a); element distributions of (c) Ti, (d) Si, (e) Al, and (f) C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marked spot</th>
<th>Compositions (at%)</th>
<th>Corresponding phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>Si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.81±2.07</td>
<td>2.36±3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.93±1.42</td>
<td>3.84±5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.23±1.65</td>
<td>57.71±4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45.56±1.45</td>
<td>15.34±4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.67±1.67</td>
<td>1.36±6.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1  EDS results of the marked spots 1–5 in Fig. 4(b)

www.springer.com/journal/40145
C-atoms diffuse outward through the formed TiC, layer and react with Si to form SiC (Eq. (5)) [26].

\[
C + Si (g) \rightarrow SiC
\]  

(5)

The formation of a nearly stoichiometric TiC phase is due to the diffusion of C from the C_{sf} into the carbon vacancies of TiC_{0.67}, as shown in the following reaction:

\[
C + TiC_{0.67} \rightarrow TiC
\]  

(6)

As a result, the double-layered interface phases are formed. Such a double layer interface can serve as a C diffusion barrier and it prevents carbon fibers to provide a C-source. Therefore, the decomposition of Ti_{3}SiC_{2} is inhibited.

From the EDS result in Fig. 4(e), the bright agglomerates were enriched in Al. Meanwhile, the EDS analysis also confirmed the existence of minor well-dispersed Al_{2}O_{3} particles with a size of 2–3 μm, which corresponds to the XRD results.

The actual densities of the as-prepared composites are listed in Table 2. With increasing the content of C_{sf}, the density of the composite decreased. This is because the density of C_{sf} (1.78 g/cm³) was much lower than that of Ti_{3}SiC_{2} (4.53 g/cm³). Based on the nominal ratio of the initial contents of Ti_{3}SiC_{2} and C_{sf}, the theoretical densities of the as-prepared Ti_{3}SiC_{2} and C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2} composites were calculated using the rule of mixtures and also listed in Table 2. The relative densities of all materials prepared by SPS were higher than 98% in this work. In addition, under the condition of the same SPS processing, the relative densities of the as-prepared C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2} composite increased with increasing C_{sf} content. It should be noted that, during the calculation of the theoretical densities of the composites, only the nominal compositions of the composite were considered, the formation of high density TiC (4.93 g/cm³ [1]) during the sintering of the bulk material was neglected. Therefore, correspondingly, the higher contents of C_{sf} and TiC led to the greater deviation of calculated density.

### 3.3 Mechanical properties of the composites

#### 3.3.1 Vickers hardness

The dependence of Vickers hardness of Ti_{3}SiC_{2} and C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2} composites on the theoretical content of C_{sf} is shown in Fig. 5. The Vickers hardness of the pristine Ti_{3}SiC_{2} sintered by SPS in the present work was 5.40±0.06 GPa (measured at the indentation load of 9.8 N). It was reported previously that the hardness of monolithic Ti_{3}SiC_{2} was about 4 GPa [3], lower than that of Ti_{3}SiC_{2} synthesized by SPS in this work. That is due to the small amount of Al_{2}O_{3} in our Ti_{3}SiC_{2} sample and the impact of the indentation size during measurement. El-Raghy et al. [3] found that the indentation size had a great influence on the hardness. In Fig. 5, the Vickers hardness of the composite decreased slightly for the case of 2 vol% C_{sf} and then increased monotonously to 6.80±0.87 GPa with increasing C_{sf} content up to 10 vol%. For a small amount of C_{sf} (2 vol%) addition, the slight decline of the hardness of the composite was related to C_{sf} possessing lower hardness compared to the Ti_{3}SiC_{2} matrix. The formation of minor TiC and SiC interfacial phases with the higher hardness of 28–30 GPa [29] and 22 GPa [30] compared to the Ti_{3}SiC_{2} matrix, respectively, was insufficient to compensate the hardness loss by the introduction of C_{sf}. For the 5 C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2}, a similar situation took place. Although the increased contents of TiC and SiC gave rise to the increased hardness of the composite, which was still lower than that of the matrix Ti_{3}SiC_{2}. The hardness of the composite was degraded due to the chemical reaction between C_{sf} and Ti_{3}SiC_{2} matrix, but further evaluated the content of the interface reaction products to compensate for the decrease in the hardness of the composite beyond the scope of this paper. When the content of C_{sf} was 10 vol%, the hardness of the as-prepared composite was 6.69 GPa, which was larger than that of the Ti_{3}SiC_{2}. For the 10 C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2}, the contents of formed TiC and SiC interfacial phases with higher hardness were sufficient to compensate the hardness loss by the introduction of C_{sf}. Zhang et al. [31] presented that the maximum Vickers hardness of Ti_{3}SiC_{2}−40 vol% TiC composite was about 13 GPa. Accordingly, we believe that the contents of added C_{sf} and TiC derived from the interfacial reaction between C_{sf} and Ti_{3}SiC_{2} simultaneously determine the hardness of C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2} composite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal composition</th>
<th>Apparent density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Theoretical density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Relative density (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti_{3}SiC_{2}</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vol% C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2}</td>
<td>4.41±0.01</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 vol% C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2}</td>
<td>4.35±0.01</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 vol% C_{sf}/Ti_{3}SiC_{2}</td>
<td>4.24±0.01</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The dependence of the $\sigma_f$ and $K_{IC}$ of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ and C$_f$/Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ composites on the theoretical content of C$_f$ is illustrated in Fig. 6. When the content of C$_f$ increased from 0 vol% to 10 vol%, the $\sigma_f$ decreased from 602±34 MPa to 468±34 MPa. The main reason for the reduction of $\sigma_f$ is that the modulus of C$_f$ (~250 GPa) is lower than that of the Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ matrix (~326 GPa), and the presence of C$_f$ in the matrix resulted in the reduced load-carrying capacity of the composites [1,19]. Meanwhile, it was also related to the formation of TiC and SiC with a high content between the matrix and C$_f$. Both TiC and SiC formed by in-situ reaction exist in a layered structure in the matrix. The layered structure as a reinforcement is different from the particles as a reinforcement, which does not inhibit the growth of crystal grains. On the contrary, they occupy the space of the matrix and fibers, and their reinforcement effect depends on the inherent properties of TiC and SiC and the interface bonding strength. The mechanical properties of both TiC and SiC are lower than those of Ti$_3$SiC$_2$, which is the main reason for the reduction of the $\sigma_f$ of the composites.

It also can be seen from Fig. 6 that as the increase of C$_f$ content, the $K_{IC}$ of C$_f$/Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ composites increased, reaching a maximum value of 6.48±0.29 MPa m$^{1/2}$ for 10 C$_f$/Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. After adding C$_f$, the $K_{IC}$ of C$_f$/Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ composites was enhanced, which was related to the activation of certain kinds of toughening mechanisms [32,33], as described as follows.

The mechanical properties of fiber-reinforced composites rely on not only the intrinsic properties of fiber and matrix but also the characteristics of fiber/matrix interface [34,35]. Interface delamination and the formation of “weak” interphase are significantly vital to the comprehensive properties of fiber-reinforced ceramic matrix composites [36]. During the failure process of composites, their $K_{IC}$ could be improved effectively by interface debonding, fiber bridging, and fiber pulling-out caused by such characteristics mentioned above. To further understand the possible toughening mechanisms of the as-prepared C$_f$ reinforced Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ composites, the fractured surfaces of the composites after the SENB test were observed by SEM, as shown in Fig. 7. These composites exhibited a fully brittle fracture. The breakage of C$_f$ and interface debonding could be found, but fiber pull-out did not appear, corresponding to a strong interface bonding between C$_f$ and Ti$_3$SiC$_2$. During the preparation of C$_f$/Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ composites, original C$_f$ did not undergo any surface treatment. As mentioned above, the formation of interfacial phases of TiC and SiC during heat-pressing sintering caused an enhanced interface bonding between C$_f$ and Ti$_3$SiC$_2$ matrix, and such chemical bonding was much stronger than van der Waals force in the matrix [37,38]. Therefore, the fiber bridging or fiber pulling-out became very difficult to occur due to the presence of a strong interface between fiber reinforcement and matrix [35,39]. On the other hand, under this condition, as the C$_f$ content increases, more energy is consumed during the fracture process due to interface debonding and C$_f$ breakage, thereby resulting in the increased $K_{IC}$ of the as-prepared composite.
Moreover, the fracture surface morphologies of Ti₃SiC₂ and 10 Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ composite were further observed under high magnification SEM, as shown in Fig. 8. For 10 Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ composite (Fig. 8(b)), it clearly reveals two different fracture modes. The fracture of the unreacted Ti₃SiC₂ exhibited the same fracture mode as the pure Ti₃SiC₂ materials (Fig. 8(a)), which was mainly characterized by grain pull-out and grain delamination. On the other hand, in the interface reaction layer, the fracture surface is relatively flat, showing a typical transgranular fracture mode. This clarifies that the harsh interface reaction to form brittle compounds is not conducive to the mechanical properties of the fiber-reinforced composites [40]. In addition, the grain size of these compounds is much smaller than Ti₃SiC₂.

4 Conclusions

Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ were prepared by the SPS process. The behavior of Cₛf in the as-prepared Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites, microstructures, and mechanical properties of the as-prepared Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites were studied. The main results are as follows:

1) Dense Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ with 2 vol%, 5 vol%, and 10 vol% Cₛf were prepared by SPS at 1300 °C. The interfacial reaction layer with the duplex structure of the TiC inner layer and SiC outer layer was formed between Cₛf and Ti₃SiC₂ matrix.

2) Among the as-prepared Ti₃SiC₂ matrix composites, the one with 10 vol% Cₛf exhibited the highest Vickers hardness (6.80±0.87 GPa) and $K_{IC}$ (6.48±0.29 MPa m$^{1/2}$), which were increased by 36.7% and 17.8% compared with Ti₃SiC₂, respectively. Its $\sigma_f$ was 467.7 MPa, which decreased by 22.3%.

3) The contents of added Cₛf and TiC produced by the interfacial reaction between the Cₛf and Ti₃SiC₂ matrix played a critical part in the mechanical properties of Cₛf/Ti₃SiC₂ composites.
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