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Abstract: In this study, CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_x$ high-entropy alloys were produced by induction melting and their dry sliding wear behavior was examined at different temperatures. In addition to face-centered cubic (FCC) phases, low amounts of a tetragonal phase were detected in the microstructures of alloys without Al and microscratches were formed by wear particles on the worn surfaces of the alloy specimens. Two body-centered cubic (BCC) phases were detected in the alloy with 0.5Al and a fatigue-related extrusion wear mechanism was detected on the worn surface. The alloy specimen with a high Al content exhibited the best wear characteristics. No wear tracks were formed in single-phase BCC intermetallic alloys at room temperature and they exhibited a higher wear strength at high temperatures when compared to other samples.
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1 Introduction

Recently, new alloy systems, named high-entropy alloys (HEAs), with multiple principal elements were developed by Yeh et al. [1]. HEAs are advanced materials and their uniqueness lies in the fact that there is no single dominating element; all principal elements ( > 5) have equal or near-equal atomic ratios in disordered solid solutions. The term “high-entropy” is based on the hypothesis that the enthalpy of formation can be overcome via a high enthalpy of mixing, thus enabling the formation of simple solid solutions [2–6]. In solid solutions, the entropy of mixing increases upon increasing the number of alloy elements. When five or more alloy elements are involved, the contribution of the entropy of mixing to the total free energy becomes significant, which in turn stabilizes the solutions. Accordingly, alloys with five or more elements are referred to as high-entropy alloys, and provide the ratio of each element in the alloy in the range of 5%–35% [7, 8].

Numerous studies on these alloys reported that they exhibited unique microstructures and superior characteristics [9–11]. Wu et al. [12] investigated the effect of Al addition to high-entropy CoCrCuFeNi alloys on their adhesive wear behavior. They reported that increasing the Al ratio increased the proportion of the body-centered cubic (BCC) phase as well as the alloy hardness. Moreover, increasing the Al ratio reduced the coefficient of friction (COF) and resulted in a shift from delamination wear to oxidative wear. Unnikrishnan et al. [13] investigated the effect of Co, Ti, and Si addition on the wear characteristics of AlCuNiFe alloys. Among these alloys, the highest hardness was obtained upon the addition of Si and Ti. In addition, the wear resistance of these HEAs was superior to that of conventional alloys. Wang et al. [14] investigated the effect of nitriding on the wear resistance of high-entropy AlCoCrFeNi alloys. Reportedly, the alloy hardness improved from 522 HV...
to 720 HV upon nitriding. The researchers also stated that the nitride layer exhibited a significantly better wear resistance as compared to the cast alloy in dry sliding conditions. Yadav et al. [15] investigated the effect of Pb addition to AlCrFeMnV and Bi addition to CuCrFeTiZn with respect to the alloy dry sliding wear performance. It was observed that Pb addition did not induce any changes in the COF, whereas Bi addition resulted in a decrease in the COF. Increasing the Pb and Bi ratios resulted in a decrease in the wear rate by 21% and 25%, respectively. Yu et al. [16] investigated the wear behavior of AlCoCrFeNiTi0.5Alx and AlCoCrFeNiCu/Si3N4 tribo-pair with compatible alloy and self-lubricating counterpart. They found that the tribo-chemical reactions of the HEA/Si3N4 couple could inhibit mechanical wear and improve tribological properties with increasing concentration of H2O2 solution. Numerous studies have been conducted on the wear characteristics of HEAs and almost all of them reported a high wear resistance [17–22]. On the other hand, only a limited number of reports are available on the high-temperature wear resistance of HEAs. These alloys are expected to exhibit superior high-temperature performance owing to a sluggish diffusion effect, which is one of the four “core effects” of HEAs [9, 23]. In this regard, the present study investigates the microstructural characteristics and high-temperature dry sliding wear behavior of high-entropy CoCrFeNiTi0.5Alx alloys.

2 Experimental details

Alloy ingots with a nominal composition of CoCrFeNiTi0.5Alx (x = 0, 0.5, and 1 corresponding to 0, 10, and 18.18 at%, respectively) were synthesized by induction melting using a mixture of pure metals powders (35 μm–44 μm size and of purity > 99.8%). The densities of samples were 7.85 g/cm3, 7.37 g/cm3, and 6.94 g/cm3, respectively. The Co, Cr, Fe, Ni, Ti, and Al powders were initially mixed into the desired composition. The mixing was carried out at room temperature for 3 hours at a rotational speed of 200 rpm. After mixing, the powder mixture was cold pressed to form a cylindrical compact in a metallic mold under a uniaxial pressure of 200 MPa prior to casting. The chemical compositions of the produced alloys are included in Table 1. The molten alloys were cast into thin stainless steel molds with copper bottoms and cross-sectional dimensions of 60 mm × 30 mm. The entire induction casting system, including the crucible and molds, was kept in a controlled argon atmosphere during the melting and casting process for the preparation of CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al alloy ingots.

A scanning electron microscope (SEM, TESCAN MAIA3 XMU) was used to analyze the worn and metallographic alloy surfaces. A 3D optical profilometer (HUVTZ HDS-5800) was used for wear-depth and wear-loss measurements. Crystal structures in the samples were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, RIGAKU D/MAX/2200/PC) with Cu Kα radiation at an operating voltage and current of 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively. The scanning angle (2θ) was in the range of 20°–90°. Alloy microhardness measurements were conducted on a QNESS Q10 instrument (Austria) with a load of 100 g and dwell time of 15 s. The averages of measurements from 5 different points are shown on the Vickers scale.

Table 1  Chemical compositions of the as-solidified CoCrFeNiTi0.5Alx alloys in atomic percentage (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoCrFeNiTi0.5</td>
<td>Dark(1)</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gray(2)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light(3)</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al0.5</td>
<td>Dark(1)</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light(2)</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al</td>
<td>Matrix(1)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grain B.(2)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The dry sliding wear behavior of the samples was tested with WC abrasive balls of 6 mm diameter on a ball-on-disc tribometer. Wear tests were conducted at 25 °C, 250 °C, and 500 °C with a 10 N load over a total distance of 216 m. To ensure the accuracy of the results, each test was repeated three times and the mean values were obtained. After the wear tests, cross-sectional images of the wear marks were captured with an optical profilometer (Fig. 1) at four different points. To calculate the loss-of-volume wear in each sample, the average of 4 area measurements was multiplied by the diameter of the circular wear track.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructure of the as-solidified CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_x$ alloys

The XRD patterns of the as-solidified alloys are shown in Fig. 2 and the identified phases with their lattice parameters are listed in Table 2. Two FCC phases and a tetragonal phase with weak peaks were found in the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$ sample. The lattice constants calculated from the XRD data were 3.603 Å and 3.590 Å for the A1 disordered (FCC1) phase and L1$_2$ ordered (FCC2) phase, respectively. Whereas the lattice constants of D8$_b$ (tetragonal) phase were $a$: 8.795 Å and $c$: 4.555 Å. The addition of Al, which has a large atomic radius (10 wt.%) and high affinity into the alloy sample induced changes in all the phases observed in the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$ alloy. A2 disordered (BCC1) and B2 ordered (BCC2) phases were detected in the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_{0.5}$ alloy. With the addition of Al, a new phase containing the Al-Ni-Ti atoms has occurred and replaced the FCC phases. The addition of Al, due to its high negative mixing enthalpy values with Ni and Ti elements caused a new phase formation, namely B2 (BCC2) in the alloy. The lattice constants of these phases were calculated from their Bragg peaks as 2.879 Å and 2.923 Å, respectively. With an increase in the Al ratio in high-entropy CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_x$ alloys. The peaks belonging to the A2 phase disappeared, whereas highly intense peaks corresponding to the B2 disordered (BCC2) phase remained. The lattice constant of this phase was 2.901 Å.

Figure 3 presents the back-scattered electron SEM micrographs of the considered CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_x$ HEAs. Table 2 outlines the chemical compositions of the cast alloys (analyzed by energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDS)). Dendrite and inter-dendritic structures were observed in the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$ alloy. A phase with whisker-like distribution was observed in the light gray phase at high magnifications. From the XRD results, it can be inferred that this phase, which exhibits a low relative intensity, is most likely the tetragonal sigma phase. The light phase includes around 9% Ti with other elements being present in similar ratios. In the gray phase, the ratio of Ti was slightly higher than that of Ni. No significant changes were observed in the ratios of other elements. Typical dendritic and inter-dendritic structures were observed in the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_{0.5}$ specimen. As shown in Fig. 2, the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al$_{0.5}$ alloy is composed of two BCC phases. The higher relative intensity of the BCC2 phase when compared to BCC1 is indicative of the lower weight ratio of the former. Thus, BCC1 corresponds
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Fig. 2 XRD patterns of the as-solidified CoCrFeNiTi0.5Alx alloys.

Table 2 Phases and lattice constants of the as-solidified CoCrFeNiTi0.5Alx alloys as detected by XRD analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Lattice (Crystal structure)</th>
<th>Lattice parameter (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoCrFeNiTi0.5</td>
<td>FCC1(A1) Fe-Ni</td>
<td>3.603 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FCC2(L12) Co-Ti</td>
<td>3.590 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetragonal(D8h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>a = 8.795 ± 0.022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c = 4.555 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al0.5</td>
<td>BCC1(A2) Fe-Cr</td>
<td>2.879 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCC2(B2) Al-Ni-Ti</td>
<td>2.923 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al</td>
<td>BCC2(B2) Al-Ni-Ti</td>
<td>2.901 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to the light phase, while BCC2 represents the dark phase as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). As indicated by the EDS results in Table 1, the dark phase is rich in Al-Ni-Ti, whereas the light phase has a high Fe and Cr content. Al, Ni, and Ti aggregate due to a large negative enthalpy of mixing, whereas the Fe-Cr rich phase precipitates in the vicinity of the dark phase due to its high concentration. A single-phase microstructure is observed in the CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al alloy specimen; this observation is supported by the XRD results. The amount of BCC2 phase increased due to the increase in the Al ratio. Probably due to this increase, Fe and Cr atoms were dissolved in this phase instead of forming a new phase, and as a result, a single phase microstructure was obtained. Equiaxed grains with an average size of 30 microns–35 microns were formed in this alloy. An even elemental distribution was observed in the grains, whereas slight changes were observed at the grain boundaries. However, the EDS results reflect approximate measurements conducted in a cross-sectional area corresponding to a diameter of 1 micron.

3.2 Hardness and dry sliding wear analysis

The volume loss graphs of the tested specimens are shown in Fig. 4. As shown in the figure, the highest wear resistance was observed on the CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al specimen, followed by the CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al0.5 and CoCrFeNiTi0.5 specimens. CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al exhibited the best wear performance at high temperatures. Moreover, the wear volumes of this specimen at

Fig. 3 SEM backscattered electron images of as-solidified CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al, alloys. (a, b) Low- and high-magnification images of CoCrFeNiTi0.5, respectively. (c, d) Low- and high-magnification images of CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al0.5, respectively. (e, f) Low- and high-magnification images of CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al, respectively.

Fig. 4 Volume loss values of test samples at different sliding temperatures.
250 °C and 500 °C were close to the room-temperature values of other specimens.

Figure 5 shows the variations occurring in alloy hardness with respect to aluminum content. As the aluminum content increased, the hardness value increased. Observing the microstructural changes shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It can be concluded that alloy hardness is influenced by the phase type. Crystal structure transformation in the alloys from FCC to BCC caused a significant increase in the alloy hardness with an increase in the aluminum content. This indicates that the BCC phase is stronger than the FCC phase. This observation can be explained in two ways. The first is that slip along the closest packing planes \{110\} in the BCC structure is more difficult than that along the \{111\} planes in the FCC structure. \{110\} planes are less dense and more irregular. Therefore, a high lattice friction occurs during dislocation movement at the atomic scale. The second reason is that elements with strong binding forces, such as Al and elements with high melting temperatures, such as Cr, increase the Young’s modulus and slip resistance [12]. Hence, it is apparent that aluminum not only exhibited strong binding forces with other metallic atoms but also a much larger atomic radius (1.4317 Å) [24]. Accordingly, increasing the Al ratio induced lattice distortion, which in turn increased the slip resistance. Moreover, the intermetallic AlNi$_2$Ti phase, which was formed as a result of an increase in the Al ratio contributed to an increase in hardness. The wear volumes of the tested specimens clearly indicated that the highest hardness was exhibited by the CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al specimen. This statement is based on the definition of hardness—“it is the resistance against plastic deformation.” CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$Al specimens, which exhibited the highest hardness, exhibited a high resistance to the action of the abrasive ball, resulting in a high wear resistance.

The friction coefficient graph obtained after conducting dry sliding wear tests on CoCrFeNiTi$_{0.5}$ alloys at three different temperatures with a 10 N load is shown in Fig. 6. The lowest COF was observed at room temperature and it increased with an increase in temperature. The specimens exhibited a stable COF at room temperature. At 250 °C, a low COF was observed for the first 600 s after which it increased. The COF at 500 °C was close to the value obtained at 250 °C. However, the value of the COF started to decrease after the first 1,200 s. From the SEM micrographs of the wear surfaces and COF graphs, it can be inferred that the COF observed in the initial wear stages is mainly due to an increase in the real contact area during the initial stages of the wear process. The more stabilized COF obtained after the removal of asperities can be ascribed to the formation of an oxide layer with superior mechanical characteristics when compared to the matrix material, which resulted in low wear rates. The oxide layer acted as a solid lubricant; in addition, work hardening also took place. The fluctuations observed in the COF during testing can be attributed to the fracture of the oxide layer formed on the surface and the wear debris that aggregated and adhered to the surface, thereby inducing additional resistance against the sliding of the abrading ball. This can be clearly observed in the SEM images in Fig. 7 in terms of the scratches on the surface.
surface due to detached particles.

The increased COF at 250 °C in addition to the difference in the peak amplitudes and heights obtained at this temperature when compared to the values at room temperature, are attributable to the increase in the rate of formation and spallation of the oxide layer at high temperatures. Figure 7 shows the morphologies of the wear surfaces of the CoCrFeNiTi\textsubscript{0.5} alloy after testing and the debris produced during the test. When compared to Fig. 7(a). More wear particles are observed in Fig. 7(b). Tests performed at the highest temperature resulted in relatively smooth worn surfaces with microscratches 20 μm–50 μm in size. Such low roughness can be ascribed to the reduced hardness of the specimens at high temperatures, thus resulting in a highly deformable surface. When the alloying elements of the sample are examined, Ti, Cr, and Fe are the elements with high probability for oxidation. With temperature increasing, these elements will more easily form oxidized compounds. Depending on the nature of this oxide layer forming on the surface, it will either be removed from the surface as wear debris or attached to the surface. The oxide particles detached from the surface can either form a load bearing barrier or cause the formation of micro-groove as it sinking into the surface like abrasive particles. When the image of the wear surface was carefully examined, it was seen that micro-grooves were formed at many points in short dimension.

As shown in the COF graph of the CoCrFeNiTi\textsubscript{0.5}Al\textsubscript{0.5} alloy (Fig. 8). The COF values obtained at 250 °C were similar to those obtained at room temperature, but significantly higher values were obtained at 500 °C. The SEM micrograph (Fig. 9) of the worn surface after conducting the tests at 500 °C shows that the dominant wear mechanism is fatigue-induced extrusion. EDS analysis indicated a high oxygen content in the wear particles (Fig. 10). Roughening induced by extrusion on the surface resulted in significantly high COF values as compared to the others. Metallic tearings that occurred parallel to the wear track on the extruded surface were also effective in increasing the COF.

The CoCrFeNiTi\textsubscript{0.5}Al alloy on the other hand exhibited the lowest COF values among all the tested specimens (Fig. 11). Its COF value was initially stable at 250 °C, but began to increase after 700 s and stabilized at 500 °C. Fluctuation in the COF values at 250 °C is attributable to wear debris, whereas the fluctuation observed at 500 °C might have been induced by roughening caused by detached particles as a result of fatigue wear (Fig. 12) [19]. When the friction coefficients obtained at room temperature were examined, the lowest friction coefficient was observed. Only an increase during the first 100 s was observed. This is the condition seen during the cleaning of the surface roughness. Then the curve became more stable.
At room temperature, the highest volume loss among all the specimens was observed for the CoCrFeNiTi0.5 alloy, followed by CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al0.5 and CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al alloys. The XRD results and microstructures of the specimens indicate that the matrix of the CoCrFeNiTi0.5 alloy is composed of soft and ductile phases, such as FCC1 + FCC2 [12, 21]. Additionally, the whisker-like intermetallic σ phase distributed within the matrix acted as a load-bearing constituent, thereby contributing to a reduction in wear loss. Smooth surfaces with wear debris and microscratches formed due to this debris are observed in the SEM micrographs of this specimen’s wear track. When compared to room-temperature values, no significant changes could be observed in the volume loss of the CoCrFeNiTi0.5 alloy at 250 °C; however, it increased by approximately two times at 500 °C.

The CoCrFeNiTi0.5Al0.5 alloy exhibited superior wear resistance as compared to the CoCrFeNiTi0.5 alloy. This is to be expected as the high resistance of the hard BCC1 + BCC2 phases towards plastic deformation and delamination might help the oxide layer withstand abrasion. The high wear resistance of the alloys at
high aluminum contents is ascribed to the oxide film, which is resistant to delamination [25]. \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) selectively formed during oxidation, slows down the rapid penetration of oxygen into the material. Alumina is a stable oxide that grows very slowly during oxidation and provides high resistance against oxygen penetration. It prevents exposure of the fresh surface as well as adhesive wear and thus prevents further wear damage [15, 26, 27]. It should be noted that the matrix grains of the CoCrFeNiTi\(_{0.5}\)Al\(_{0.5}\) alloy consist of BCC2 (Al-Ni-Ti), whereas the intragranular phase surrounding these grains is the BCC1 (FeCr) phase. The BCC2 phase resists plastic deformation, whereas the BCC1 phase absorbs energy. During the metal metal interaction, the soft structural component is subjected to plastic deformation and the hard structural component is subjected to elastic deformation. With time passing, the hard phase occurs gradually. This causes a reduction in wear rate. However, the excessive amount of hard phase may cause the wear mechanism to return to fatigue [28]. Further, the stable structure of this intermetallic phase at high temperatures prevents high wear rates at elevated temperatures.

The CoCrFeNiTi\(_{0.5}\)Al\(_{0.5}\) alloy exhibited the lowest volume loss among all the tested specimens. At room temperature, no significant wear loss was detected in this alloy. Moreover, the wear loss of this specimen at 500 °C was close to the wear loss of other alloy specimens at room temperature. The high wear resistance of this specimen is mainly ascribed to its microstructure, which consists of an intermetallic phase with significantly high strength and hardness [28].

4 Conclusions

In this study, high-entropy CoCrFeNiTi\(_{0.5}\)Al\(_x\) alloys with varying aluminum content were produced by induction melting and analyzed by dry sliding wear tests at different temperatures. Upon increasing the aluminum content in CoCrFeNiTi\(_{0.5}\)Al\(_{0.5}\) alloys, both the volume ratio of the strong BCC phase and the alloy hardness increased, which also led to an increase in the wear resistance and changed the wear mechanism. The CoCrFeNiTi\(_{0.5}\)Al\(_{0.5}\) alloy exhibited the highest wear resistance among all the tested specimens. No wear tracks were formed at room temperature in these specimens; in addition, a high wear resistance was obtained due to the single-phase ordered BCC (Al-Ni-Ti) structure formed at high temperatures. A pull-out wear mechanism was observed as a result of high hardness and strength. Further, a high Al content resulted in stable oxides of Al, which contributed to alloy wear resistance. A moderate wear resistance was observed in both room-temperature and high-temperature tests for CoCrFeNiTi\(_{0.5}\)Al\(_{0.5}\) alloy. In particular, the ordered BCC phase (Al-Ni-Ti) with its high hardness resulted in a superior wear resistance at both room temperature and high temperature during testing (these alloys contained two different BCC phases). A fatigue-induced extrusion wear mechanism was observed during alloy testing. Further, oxidative wear was detected during high-temperature alloy testing. Such behavior, which reflects a low wear resistance, is attributed to its composition with a high ductile FCC content; in addition, the microscratches observed on the worn surface of the alloy
can be ascribed to its ductile structure. The lack of Al, which forms stable oxides on the surface and acts as a BCC phase stabilizer, results in a low wear resistance at high temperatures.
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