



2021

## Clinical guidelines for neurorestorative therapies in spinal cord injury (2021 China version)

Xiaodong Guo

*Sino-Canada Spinal and Spinal Cord Injury Center, Department of Orthopedics, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, Hebei, China*

Yaping Feng

*Department of Neurosurgery, The 920th Hospital of Joint Logistics Support Force of the Chinese People's Liberation Army, Kunming 650032, Yunnan, China*

Tiansheng Sun

*Department of Orthopedics, The Seventh Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100700, China*

Shiqing Feng

*Department of Orthopedics, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin 300052, China*

Jianguang Tang

*Department of Orthopedics, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100730, China*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.tsinghuajournals.com/journal-of-neurorestoratology>



Part of the [Neuroscience and Neurobiology Commons](#)

See next page for additional authors

### Recommended Citation

Guo, Xiaodong; Feng, Yaping; Sun, Tiansheng; Feng, Shiqing; Tang, Jianguang; Chen, Lin; Cao, Xiaojian; Lin, Haodong; He, Xijing; Li, Meihua; Zhang, Zhicheng; Yin, Guoyong; Mei, Xifan; and Huang, Hongyun (2021) "Clinical guidelines for neurorestorative therapies in spinal cord injury (2021 China version)," *Journal of Neurorestoratology*. Vol. 9 : No. 1 , Article 3.

DOI: 10.26599/JNR.2021.9040003

Available at: <https://dc.tsinghuajournals.com/journal-of-neurorestoratology/vol9/iss1/3>

This Special Report is brought to you for free and open access by Tsinghua University Press: Journals Publishing. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Neurorestoratology by an authorized editor of Tsinghua University Press: Journals Publishing.

---

## Clinical guidelines for neurorestorative therapies in spinal cord injury (2021 China version)

### Authors

Xiaodong Guo, Yaping Feng, Tiansheng Sun, Shiqing Feng, Jianguang Tang, Lin Chen, Xiaojian Cao, Haodong Lin, Xijing He, Meihua Li, Zhicheng Zhang, Guoyong Yin, Xifan Mei, and Hongyun Huang

# Clinical guidelines for neurorestorative therapies in spinal cord injury (2021 China version)

Xiaodong Guo<sup>1</sup>, Yaping Feng<sup>2</sup>, Tiansheng Sun<sup>3</sup>, Shiqing Feng<sup>4</sup>, Jiaguang Tang<sup>5</sup>, Lin Chen<sup>6</sup>, Xiaojian Cao<sup>7</sup>, Haodong Lin<sup>8</sup>, Xijing He<sup>9</sup>, Meihua Li<sup>10</sup>, Zhicheng Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Guoyong Yin<sup>11</sup>, Xifan Mei<sup>12</sup>, Hongyun Huang<sup>13</sup>(✉),  
On behalf of Chinese Association of Neurorestoratology (Preparatory) and China Committee of International Association of Neurorestoratology

<sup>1</sup> Sino-Canada Spinal and Spinal Cord Injury Center, Department of Orthopedics, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, Hebei, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, The 920th Hospital of Joint Logistics Support Force of the Chinese People's Liberation Army, Kunming 650032, Yunnan, China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Orthopedics, The Seventh Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100700, China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin 300052, China

<sup>5</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100730, China

<sup>6</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100007, China

<sup>7</sup> Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu, China

<sup>8</sup> Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Shanghai General Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200080, China

<sup>9</sup> Department of Orthopedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710004, Shaanxi, China

<sup>10</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang 330006, Jiangxi, China

<sup>11</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Jiangsu Province Hospital, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu, China

<sup>12</sup> Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinzhou Medical University, Jinzhou 121000, Liaoning, China

<sup>13</sup> Beijing Hongtianji Neuroscience Academy, Beijing 100143, China

## ARTICLE INFO

**Received:** 4 February, 2021

**Revised:** 2 March, 2021

**Accepted:** 3 March, 2021

© The authors 2021. This article is published with open access at <http://jnr.tsinghuaajournals.com>

## KEYWORDS

spinal cord injury;  
neurorestoration;  
neurorehabilitation;  
cell therapy;  
neurotization;  
clinical therapeutic guideline

## ABSTRACT

Treatment of spinal cord injury (SCI) remains challenging. Considering the rapid developments in neurorestorative therapies for SCI, we have revised and updated the *Clinical Therapeutic Guidelines for Neurorestoration in Spinal Cord Injury (2016 Chinese version)* of the Chinese Association of Neurorestoratology (Preparatory) and China Committee of International Association of Neurorestoratology. Treatment of SCI is a systematic multimodal process that aims to improve survival and restore neurological function. These guidelines cover real-world comprehensive neurorestorative management of acute, subacute, and chronic SCI and include assessment and diagnosis, pre-hospital first aid, treatment, rehabilitation, and complication management.

Corresponding author: Hongyun Huang, E-mail: [huanghongyun@126.com](mailto:huanghongyun@126.com)

## 1 Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) can result in acute edema or hemorrhagic contusion and cause motor, sensory, and autonomic neurological dysfunction below the level of injury [1]. SCI imposes a heavy public health burden [2–4]. According to incomplete statistics, there are more than one million patients with SCI in China and the number is growing by 120,000 per year [5–8]. Disability and mortality related to SCI have been significantly decreased because of effective pre-hospital emergency care, progress in clinical treatment, and improved nursing techniques. Furthermore, various effective neurorestorative treatment strategies are now being widely used [9–13]. In view of the rapid developments in neurorestorative therapy for SCI, the Chinese Association of Neurorestoratology (Preparatory) and China Committee of International Association of Neurorestoratology revised and updated the *Clinical Therapeutic Guidelines for Neurorestoration in SCI* in 2016 [14]. SCI can be divided into four stages according to time: acute (< 48 h), subacute (48 h–14 d), intermediate (14 d–6 months), and chronic (> 6 months) [15]. The guidelines presented here are based on evidence published before 31 December, 2020. Although the methods in the guidelines can restore neurologic function in SCI patients to a certain extent, complete recovery from severe SCI remains difficult.

## 2 Acute and subacute stages of SCI

### 2.1 Assessment

SCI patients should undergo a thorough neurological examination as early as possible to assess severity and prognosis as well as a comprehensive physical examination to rule out associated injuries [16, 17]. The International Standards for Neurologic Classification of SCI

(ISNCSCI) is the most widely accepted classification system for sensorimotor impairment [18–20]. The American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) neurological score is the most widely used quantitative neurological assessment. Quality of life is evaluated using the International Association of Neurorestoratology SCI Functional Rating Scale [14, 15].

### 2.2 Imaging examination

Radiography may miss spinal fracture and is not recommended as the modality of choice for cervical spine injury [21]. However, anterior–posterior and lateral views should be obtained when used, which can demonstrate vertebral alignment and type of fracture or dislocation. Computed tomography (CT) is the most commonly used imaging modality to diagnose spinal fracture or dislocation [22]. Axial and three-dimensional views can show the shape of the spinal canal and facet joints and can detect small and hidden lesions missed by plain radiography [21, 22].

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the modality of choice to evaluate the integrity, injury site, severity, and involvement of the intervertebral disc, ligaments, spinal cord, cauda equina, and nerve roots [23]. MRI can show displaced disk fragments and ligamentous injury as well as spinal cord edema and hemorrhage on T2-weighted sequences [24]. Range of hemorrhage and edema and degree of spinal cord compression on MRI are associated with neurological prognosis [25, 26]. Evaluation of both preoperative and postoperative MRI is more helpful in predicting neurological outcome [27]. In addition to conventional sequences, new quantitative MRI techniques such as diffusion tensor imaging can reflect microscopic pathological changes in spinal white matter by detecting diffusion direction and dispersion of water molecules. MRI accurately evaluates SCI

to guide classification and surgical treatment [23, 28–30].

### 2.3 Diagnosis

The diagnosis of SCI should include the injured level, severity of injury, type of vertebral fracture and/or dislocation, and stability of the spine. Severity of SCI is currently classified according to the ASIA Impairment Scale (AIS) [18, 20]. Grade A is a complete injury, with no sensory or motor function preservation in S4 and S5. Grade B is an incomplete injury with preservation of sensory but not motor function below the level of injury, including S4 and S5; no motor function is preserved more than three levels below on either side of the body. Grade C is also an incomplete injury but motor function is preserved below the level of injury with more than half of the key muscles below graded less than 3 on manual muscle testing. In grade D, at least half of the key muscles below are graded 3 or more. Grade E indicates normal neurological function or function unchanged compared to before the injury.

### 2.4 Treatments

In addition to treatment of the primary injury, secondary SCI requires attention [9, 14–16]. The main purpose of SCI treatment is to reduce secondary injury in the acute and subacute stages. Treatments include early reduction and fixation, complete decompression, cell therapy, early rehabilitation, and prevention of complications [5, 16, 31–33].

#### 2.4.1 Pre-hospital aid

Appropriate pre-hospital emergency treatment is important to reduce mortality and improve outcomes. Neurorestorative treatments require close cooperation between treating specialists. Regional spinal cord injury centers with a specialized multidisciplinary SCI team compris-

ing representatives from emergency medicine, orthopedics, neurosurgery, general surgery, intensive care medicine, radiology, neurology, anesthesiology, and others should be established [34–38].

After trauma, emergency personnel should evaluate the patient quickly and stabilize the head and entire spine to prevent secondary injury. Three or more people should be utilized to lift and move the patient to a flat plate or special stretcher and transport them to a qualified hospital by ambulance or helicopter [5, 37]. Life support (airway, breathing and circulation) should be provided when necessary [39]. Telehealth communications can be used to inform hospital personnel of impending arrival and receive medical direction in transport. After admission, a multidisciplinary team should evaluate the patient, determine injuries and their severity, and initiate immediate treatment. Use of multidisciplinary teams reduces mortality and length of hospital stay [40].

#### 2.4.2 Drug therapy

Early high-dose methylprednisolone (MP) has been considered to improve SCI prognosis [41]. However, the National Acute SCI Studies I and II in the United States showed only moderate efficacy with possibly serious complications. MP has not been recommended in the guidelines of the American Association of Neurosurgeons (AANS) and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS) since 2013. The current consensus is that evidence for use of high-dose MP in SCI is insufficient [42] and it is no longer routinely recommended because of complications such as respiratory system infection, gastrointestinal bleeding, arrhythmia, and even death. However, it remains an option in selected cases [11, 42–45]. In 2017, AOSpine recommended MP as a treatment option for patients within 8 h of acute SCI [46].

When MP is elected, attention should be paid to the following points:

a) The time window for use is < 8 h from injury. Administration should proceed as follows: 30 mg/kg initial intravenous bolus within 15 min, a pause of 45 min, followed by maintenance continuous infusion at 5.4 mg/(k·h) for 23 h [41].

b) To reduce the risk of side effects, MP should be stopped as soon as possible in patients whose neurological symptoms have resolved.

c) Contraindications to high-dose MP are as follows: spinal injury without neurological dysfunction, discontinuity of the spinal cord, > 8 h after injury, gastrointestinal bleeding, gunshot SCI, elderly patients with high risk of pneumonia, and diabetic patients.

Although the combination of ganglioside (100 mg/d) and MP can improve outcome in early acute SCI [47], Guillain–Barre syndrome has been reported after ganglioside use. The development of antibodies secondary to exogenous gangliosides significantly increases the probability of Guillain–Barre syndrome [48, 49]. Therefore, ganglioside is not currently recommended for routine use in SCI. Large clinical trials are needed fully determine ganglioside efficacy [45, 46, 50].

Other drugs, including erythropoietin, riluzole, minocycline, sex hormone, neurotrophic factor, sodium aescinate, axonal growth promoting agent, granulocyte colony stimulating factor, magnesium agent, and fibroblast growth factor [2] are in clinical trials or have been in clinical use, but high-level evidence to support their routine use is lacking [15, 45, 51–53]. Although intravenous vasopressor agents such as norepinephrine can improve local spinal cord perfusion pressure and hemodynamics after SCI [54–56], their effect on neurological recovery is uncertain. Furthermore, they may be associated

with increased risk of adverse events, predominantly cardiac [56, 57].

#### 2.4.3 Hypothermia therapy

The purpose of hypothermia treatment is to reduce the basic metabolic rate of the central nervous system, reduce energy consumption of the spinal cord, and alleviate the energy supply disorder caused by spinal cord ischemia and hypoxia [58]. Induction of systemic hypothermia to a temperature between 32 °C and 34 °C seems to be most effective [58–66]. Local hypothermia is also effective [64–66], which can be achieved using epidural or subdural coolant (6 °C) [62] infused via an open or closed system. So far, there is no recognized indication or contraindication for hypothermia treatment in acute SCI. If medical and patient conditions permit, systemic and local hypothermia treatment should be carried out at the same time [62–64].

#### 2.4.4 Surgery

##### **Early decompression and stabilization**

Early spinal cord decompression and spinal stabilization are the basic treatment principles of SCI [67]. It is safe to perform emergency spinal reduction and stabilization during the acute phase, which can improve neurological outcome, shorten hospitalization time, and reduce complications. Emergency spinal cord decompression can reduce secondary injury, preserve neurological function of surviving axons, and prevent further spinal cord damage. Spinal cord compartment syndrome (SCCS) after severe acute SCI, similar to osteofascial compartment syndrome, has been proposed as a mechanism of secondary injury [37, 38, 68–72]. This theory hypothesizes that spinal cord ischemia, edema, contusion, and laceration along with bony compression of the spinal canal increases intradural spinal pressure, aggravating secondary injury via ischemia, edema and

degeneration necrosis in a vicious cycle [69–71, 73]. An alternative term for SCCS is spinal cord intramedullary hypertension (SCImH).

### **Surgical window**

Decompression and internal fixation should be performed as early as possible (< 24 h) in patients with obvious neurological dysfunction, whether the injury is complete (AIS A) or incomplete (AIS B–D) [37, 73–75]. The idea that “time is spine” has been widely recognized [38, 68, 74–87]. In 2017, AOSpine guidelines recommended that when the acute SCI patient is stable, surgery should be performed within 24 h of injury, whether complete or incomplete [77]. However, due to the problems of patient transport and need for preoperative examination and preparation, this cannot be achieved in many patients. Nonetheless, other studies have shown that surgical intervention within 3 days for SCI can provide benefit, with greater benefit associated with earlier surgical treatment [78–80, 85, 87]. Therefore, surgery should be performed as early as possible and within 3 days of injury in patients who cannot undergo surgery within 24 h [67]. In particular, patients with cervical SCI need to be thoroughly assessed, especially for lung injury and smoking history. Postoperative risk of infection and respiratory failure is increased in patients with unstable vital signs before surgery, which can result in a difficult postoperative clinical course.

### **Operative methods**

Surgical treatment is mainly determined using the Sub-axial Injury Classification and Severity Scale, and Thoracolumbar Injury Classification and Severity Score (TLICS) developed by the Spine Trauma Study Group [88, 89]. Conservative treatment is recommended for scores  $\leq 3$ . For a score of 4, either surgery or conservative treatment can be selected. For scores  $\geq 5$ ,

surgical treatment is recommended. Surgery is crucial in SCI patients, particularly bony decompression because of its remarkable curative effect. Commonly used methods include the anterior approach (ACDF/ACCF), posterior approach (laminectomy/laminoplasty), and combined anterior and posterior approaches [90, 91]. The goal of surgery is to remove spinal canal compression directly or indirectly. The approach is selected based on preoperative CT and MRI [33, 92]. These imaging modalities demonstrate fracture characteristics, position of the injured disc compressing the spinal cord, and spinal stability and structure [33, 88, 89, 93]. The role of the dura mater in SCI should not be ignored [94, 95]. Numerous studies have shown that surgical durotomy can significantly reduce intraspinal pressure [68–72, 96, 97]. In patients with elevated intraspinal pressure, anterior decompression alone is not sufficient and extensive posterior laminectomy combined with durotomy or even myelotomy is required for decompression [68–72, 94–100]. Therefore, in the presence of spinal cord compression and only local edema, anterior or posterior local decompression is feasible. Extensive laminectomy plus durotomy for decompression is required in cases with extensive spinal cord edema. In the presence of spinal cord hematoma or necrotic foci, additional myelotomy can be considered.

### **Durotomy and duroplasty**

Indications for durotomy mainly include the presence of extensive spinal edema (more than two segments) or disrupted local cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) circulation on MRI in AIS grades A–C. Intraoperative absence of CSF pulsations after laminectomy suggests the need for surgical durotomy. However, it is not recommended in patients with AIS grade of D or E, or those with

localized edema on MRI [68, 69, 97]. Durotomy is associated with risk of CSF leakage and meningitis, so surgeons should weigh the potential benefits with the risks of longer operation time and other complications when selecting treatment [98]. Durotomy with preservation of the integrity of the arachnoid membrane can theoretically reduce most of the intraspinal pressure without causing CSF leakage and entrance of inflammatory factors into the CSF and spinal cord [69]. This method can be used in patients with spinal cord edema without significant subarachnoid hemorrhage after intraoperative durotomy.

### **Myelotomy**

For patients with severe spinal cord contusion or extensive hematoma in the spinal cord, myelotomy can be selected. In theory, myelotomy can prevent further expansion of secondary injury and relieve the state of high intraspinal pressure [94, 97, 100]. Although several clinical studies have reported neurological improvement in acute SCI patients who underwent myelotomy [5, 99], prospective randomized controlled clinical trials to fully evaluate it have not been conducted. A meta-analysis of data derived from four independent prospective multicenter data sources showed that surgical decompression within 24 h of acute SCI was associated with improved sensorimotor recovery [100].

The operative method of intramedullary decompression should be considered according to injury severity, imaging results, and intraoperative findings. For patients with limited compression, anterior discectomy or laminoplasty can be performed. In patients with severe spinal cord contusion and/or hemorrhage, myelotomy to clear the hematoma can be considered if hematoma is found after durotomy [68–72, 94–101].

Since complete transection of the spinal cord is rare in clinical setting and in order to avoid iatrogenic injury, microscope assisted surgery combined with the findings of CT and MRI is necessary for intramedullary decompression in order to preserve the living axons of patients with neurological impairment [101]. The 4 types of SCI and their corresponding surgical interventions are presented below:

a) In type I, arachnoid adhesions, disappearance of spinal cord pulsations, obstruction of CSF, and pale swelling of the spinal cord are present. Interventions include release of adhesions and restoration of CSF flow and spinal cord pulsations.

b) In type II, intramedullary hematoma and bone fragments are present. Interventions include removal of hematoma and bone fragments and spinal cord exploration.

c) In type III, the SCI is partial. Once the dura mater is opened, liquefied tissue may emerge. Interventions include exploring the injured area, removing necrotic tissue, and gently washing the area with normal saline.

d) In type IV, intramedullary softening is present. Interventions include a 0.3–0.5 cm longitudinal myelotomy in the softened area, removal of softened tissue, and gently washing the cavity with normal saline.

The boundary between the contused spinal cord and normal spinal cord is not clear in the early stage, so the scope of intramedullary decompression should be limited [101].

Pediatric SCI without radiographic abnormality (usually caused by backbends during dancing in China), acute hyperextension myelopathy, and surfer's myelopathy are often characterized by spinal venous hypertension and SCCS or SCImH [25, 73]. Early decompression is recommended in these patients. However, the time threshold and method of early decompression remain controversial and need to be

examined in large randomized controlled trials [72, 74–87].

#### 2.4.5 Cell therapy

Neurorestorative mechanisms targeted in SCI cell therapy include axon regeneration and remyelination, neuroplasticity, neuroprotection, neural regulation, neural structure repair, regulation of inflammation and immune responses, neurogenesis, angiogenesis, reduction of scar and cavity formation, and cell substitution [101, 102]. The few clinical trials of cell therapy for acute or subacute SCI have shown both positive [103] and negative outcomes [104]. Acute SCI results in obvious edema and inflammation in the damaged area and early cell injection may exacerbate injury; therefore, direct cell transplantation cell is not recommended in the acute phase. Intrathecal or intravenous injection of mesenchymal stromal cells can be considered to improve systemic or local inflammation [105].

#### 2.4.6 Electrical stimulation therapy

The nervous system relies on electrical signals for information transmission and local electrical stimulation can improve and induce axon regrowth [101, 106–108]. Four categories of electrical stimulation can be used [101]. First, neuromuscular electrical stimulation is generally used initially, which can delay loss of muscle mass. The second is the regulation of electrical stimulation in paralyzed patients. The third is functional electrical stimulation of peripheral neural structures and the spinal cord. This method appears promising but it is still being studied. The fourth is comprehensive physical, medical, and neuroelectrophysiological interventions combined with various neurobiological stimulations to promote SCI motor control. These methods can effectively adjust neurological function through stimula-

tion and inhibition and are currently being studied [101, 109, 110].

#### 2.4.7 Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation training can reduce the incidence of pressure sores, deep vein thrombosis, and other complications, and can restore neurological function [111]. If the patient's condition allows, rehabilitation can be started with head, neck, and lumbar back supports after surgery. The main principle of rehabilitation is active movement to target strengthening, which helps patients to maximize recovery of neurological function [112]. Use of an exoskeleton robot in rehabilitation can maintain and extend motor function in the recovery period after SCI [113].

Early occupational and physical therapy is important after SCI. Reintegration of patients with SCI through work [114]. Early rehabilitation training should include physical and occupational therapy as well as neuroelectric stimulation [115].

#### 2.4.8 Complications and comorbidity management

##### Hypotension

After SCI, the sympathetic nerves below the injured level are inhibited, which results in reduced heart rate and dilation of peripheral blood vessels, causing susceptibility to hypotension and shock [116]. The blood supply of the spinal cord is segmentally distributed and has little collateral flow; therefore, its ability to compensate for ischemia is poor [117]. Hypotension after SCI can lead to insufficient spinal cord perfusion. Several studies have shown that the maintenance of mean arterial pressure (MAP) > 85–90 mmHg is beneficial in SCI patients [118–120]. The 2013 AANS/CNS guidelines recommend that the MAP be maintained at 85–90 mmHg for 5 to 7 days [50]. Appropriate fluid supplementation and volume

expansion or administration of noradrenaline can improve local spinal cord perfusion pressure after SCI and relieve spinal cord ischemia [121, 122].

### Hyponatremia

Hyponatremia is a common complication of cervical SCI. For mild hyponatremia, fluid intake should be limited and a high salt diet administered while closely monitoring blood sodium concentration. For moderate to severe dilutional hyponatremia, additional sodium supplementation may be needed. For hypovolemic hyponatremia, both volume expansion and sodium supplementation should be administered at the same time [67, 123, 124].

### Deep vein thrombosis

The reported incidence of deep vein thrombosis after SCI ranges between 16.3% and 79% [67, 101] but large-scale epidemiological data is lacking. Low molecular weight heparin combined with physical methods is recommended for prevention. Routine use of inferior vena cava filters is not [67, 71, 125].

### Respiratory complications

Respiratory complications such as respiratory failure, repeated pneumonia, atelectasis, and pleural effusion are the main causes of death in SCI patients [1–4, 39, 126]. SCI above C4 may cause respiratory muscle paralysis and weakened or absent cough reflex, which can result in breathing and sputum discharge difficulties and aggravate lung infection. Tracheotomy is occasionally needed to facilitate mechanical ventilation and sputum suctioning. Proper posture can help prevent or reduce respiratory tract infection: patients should be encouraged to sit or raise the head of the bed as early as possible. After raising the head of the bed, the patient should be closely monitored for postural hypotension [127, 128].

## 3 Intermediate and chronic SCI stages

### 3.1 Chronic evaluation

Fourteen days to 6 months after SCI is considered the intermediate stage, and after 6 months is considered chronic [14, 15, 101]. Neurological status, spinal alignment and stability, and spinal cord lesions should be evaluated in these stages. Comprehensive assessment of local and systemic conditions is necessary to develop the optimal treatment plan.

### 3.2 Examination

Neurological function can be assessed by the ASIA neurological score [18, 20]. Quality of daily life should be evaluated by the International Association of Neurorestoratology SCI Functional Rating Scale [14, 101]. MRI can show spinal cord status and evaluate spinal cord compression, atrophy, softening, and development of cysts, syringomyelia, and scar. Electrophysiological examination using somatosensory evoked potentials and electromyography can evaluate sensory and motor function, respectively [14, 101].

### 3.3 Diagnosis

The clinical diagnosis of intermediate and chronic SCI includes determining the level and severity of injury, quality of life, and any remaining spinal cord compression [21–25, 28]. Neurophysiological examination and MRI may assist in understanding structural abnormalities and assessing motor and sensory function [23–25, 28].

### 3.4 Treatments

#### 3.4.1 Decompressive surgery

For patients with intermediate and chronic SCI and severe spinal cord compression, surgical decompression may promote neurological

recovery.

### 3.4.2 Nerve bridging

Nerve bridging may also restore some neurologic function in patients with complete chronic SCI [129, 130]. There are three main methods:

a) A peripheral nerve (such as the accessory or an intercostal nerve) above the injury is used to bridge to a nerve root or peripheral nerve innervating a paralyzed muscle below the injured level [131, 132].

b) Ventral roots are removed from L5 or S1 above the injured level and connected below the injured level to the S2 or S3 ventral roots that innervate the bladder [133–137].

c) A peripheral nerve is resected and inserted into the ventral tract of the thoracic spinal cord (corticospinal tract) for 4–5 mm and the distal end of the nerve is connected to a neuromuscular junction in a lower limb muscle [138].

Recent clinical reports have described the role of these methods in neurological function recovery in patients with SCI [139–141].

### 3.4.3 Electrical stimulation

Epidural stimulation training can activate nerve circuits and promote nerve remodeling and functional recovery in patients with complete SCI [142]. Transcranial electrical stimulation can effectively treat neuropathic pain after chronic SCI [143]. Functional electrical stimulation of permanently denervated muscle is also effective and can preserve muscle quality and function [144]. In addition, electrical stimulation can improve neuroplasticity and reduce systemic complications [145, 146] and improve pain relief, trunk stability, and motor function [147–149]. Use of a brain–computer interface and artificial nerve prostheses can help paralyzed patients carry out activities of daily living and promote neural remodeling [150–152]. Rehabilitation

therapy using an exoskeleton robot has been shown effective in gait rehabilitation, injury plane decline, and improving spasms [152–154].

### 3.4.4 Cell therapy

Cell transplantation is an important treatment for patients with intermediate and chronic SCI. Several cell types have been found suitable for transplantation, including olfactory ensheathing cells, mesenchymal stromal cells, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, bone marrow and umbilical cord blood cells, bone marrow hematopoietic stem cells, Schwann cells, and embryonic stem cells [100, 101, 155–174]. Transplantation of cells into the spinal cord parenchyma through intravascular infusion, intrathecal or intralesional injection, or multi-channel administration can improve both neurological function and quality of life, with most subjects experiencing both sensory and motor function recovery [155–174]. However, a few patients experience no effect [175, 176].

Recent studies have reported spinal cord resection after acute and chronic complete SCI and replacing the area with a neural regeneration scaffold and umbilical cord blood stromal cells [177, 178]. However, this remains experimental and is not recommended, as patients with complete SCI still have the potential to recover some function using the strategies mentioned above. Complete removal of the spinal cord precludes the chance for patients to spontaneously restore neurological function in the acute and subacute stages of SCI. Furthermore, it precludes the use of neurorestorative treatments in the chronic stage [179].

### 3.4.5 Comprehensive treatment

The clinical effect of a single neurorestorative treatment is limited. However, comprehensive application of several treatments is expected to

achieve greater neurological function recovery. Options include cell transplantation, nerve bridging, electrical stimulation, and neurorehabilitation [112, 179–183], as well as brain–computer interface gait training programs, which can partially restore walking ability [184]. Implanted electrode stimulation can strengthen neural rehabilitation and result in partial recovery of standing and walking ability in patients with chronic complete SCI [185, 186].

The neural and skeletal systems form a neuro–osteogenic network, which is important to maintain skeletal health [187]. In SCI, there is interruption of the ascending and descending neural tracts that connect the brain to the sensory and autonomic innervation of bone. Neurogenic osteoporosis after SCI is strikingly severe [188]. Immobilization, bone denervation, and hormonal and metabolic changes contribute to SCI-induced bone loss [189]. Approximately one-half of SCI patients sustain a long bone fracture after injury [188]. Furthermore, neurogenic osteoporosis after SCI causes difficulty in bone healing after fracture [187]. However, at present, there are no evidence-based recommendations regarding prevention and treatment of osteoporosis after SCI [188–190]. Nonetheless, comprehensive neurorestorative strategies may provide some benefit in treating neurogenic osteoporosis, maintaining skeletal health, and promoting fracture healing.

## 4 Summary

In the last four years, new developments have arisen in clinical neurorestoration treatments for SCI patients. These guidelines aim to provide clinicians with knowledge of these developments to assist in treating SCI patients. Future large-scale multicenter randomized trials are needed to further examine the efficacy of neurorestorative therapies. Future guideline

revisions and updates will be provided as new evidence develops.

## Acknowledge

We should thank Dr. Yulong Wang, Fengzhao Zhu and Lian Zeng for their data search and language polishing, and thank Prof. Qixin Zheng, Yijun Bao for their helpful suggestion.

## Conflict of interests

The authors report no conflict of interests in this work.

## References

- [1] Sekhon LH, Fehlings MG. Epidemiology, demographics, and pathophysiology of acute spinal cord injury. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2001, **26**(24 Suppl): S2–S12.
- [2] GBD Traumatic Brain Injury and Spinal Cord Injury Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol* 2019, **18**(1): 56–87.
- [3] Sun TS. Present status and prospect of spinal cord injury in China (in Chinese). *Chin J Spine Spinal Cord* 2014, **24**(12): 1057–1059.
- [4] Specialised Committee of Spine and Spinal Cord Injury, Chinese Society of Rehabilitation Medicine. Expert consensus on evaluation and treatment of early thoracolumbar spine and spinal cord injury (in Chinese). *Chin J Spine Spinal Cord* 2011, **21**(11): 963–968.
- [5] Feng YP, Zhang X, Feng Y, et al. Early comprehensive treatment strategy for acute spinal cord injury (in Chinese). *Chin J Neurosurg Dis Res* 2014, **13**(5): 385–388.
- [6] Reinhardt JD, Zheng Y, Xu G, et al. People with spinal cord injury in China. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2017, **96**(2 Suppl1): S61–S65.

- [7] Yuan SY, Shi ZJ, Cao FJ, et al. Epidemiological features of spinal cord injury in China: a systematic review. *Front Neurol* 2018, **9**: 683.
- [8] Kang Y, Ding H, Zhou HX, et al. Epidemiology of worldwide spinal cord injury: a literature review. *J Neurorestoratology* 2018, **6**: 1–9.
- [9] Huang HY, Sun TS, Chen L, et al. Consensus of clinical neurorestorative progress in patients with complete chronic spinal cord injury. *Cell Transplant* 2014, **23**(Suppl 1): S5–S17.
- [10] Young W. Electrical stimulation and motor recovery. *Cell Transplant* 2015, **24**(3): 429–446.
- [11] Hurlbert RJ, Hadley MN, Walters BC, et al. Pharmacological therapy for acute spinal cord injury. *Neurosurgery* 2015, **76**(Suppl 1): S71–S83.
- [12] Huang HY, Sharma HS, Chen L, et al. 2018 Yearbook of Neurorestoratology. *J Neurorestoratology* 2019, **1**(1): 11–20.
- [13] Huang HY, Chen L, Mao G, et al. The 2019 Yearbook of Neurorestoratology. *J Neurorestoratology* 2020, **8**(1): 1–11.
- [14] Feng YP, Sun TS, Chen L, et al. Clinical therapeutic guideline for neurorestoration in spinal cord injury (Chinese version 2016). *J Neurorestoratology* 2017, **5**: 73–83.
- [15] Huang HY, Raisman G, Sanberg PR, et al. *Neurorestoratology*. New York: Nova Biomedical, 2015.
- [16] Stein DM, Sheth KN. Management of acute spinal cord injury. *Continuum* 2015, **21**:159–187.
- [17] Ropper AE, Neal MT, Theodore N. Acute management of traumatic cervical spinal cord injury. *Pract Neurol* 2015, **15**(4): 266–272.
- [18] Kirshblum SC, Burns SP, Biering-Sorensen F, et al. International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (revised 2011). *J Spinal Cord Med* 2011, **34**(6): 535–546.
- [19] Kirshblum S, Snider B, Eren F, et al. Characterizing natural recovery after traumatic spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma* 2021, in press, doi: 10.1089/neu.2020.7473.
- [20] Kirshblum S, Snider B, Rupp R, et al. Updates of the International Standards for Neurologic Classification of Spinal Cord Injury: 2015 and 2019. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2020, **31**(3): 319–330.
- [21] Ryken TC, Hadley MN, Walters BC, et al. Radiographic assessment. *Neurosurgery*. 2013, **72**(Suppl 2): 54–72.
- [22] Acheson MB, Livingston RR, Richardson ML, et al. High-resolution CT scanning in the evaluation of cervical spine fractures: comparison with plain film examinations. *Am J Roentgenol* 1987, **148**(6): 1179–1185.
- [23] Zhu FZ, Liu Y, Zeng L, et al. Evaluating the severity and prognosis of acute traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: A novel classification using diffusion tensor imaging and diffusion tensor tractography. *Spine* 2020, in press, doi: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000003923.
- [24] Lammertse D, Dungan D, Dreisbach J, et al. Neuroimaging in traumatic spinal cord injury: an evidence-based review for clinical practice and research. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2007, **30**(3): 205–214.
- [25] Alshorman JAS, Wang YL, Zhu FZ, et al. Clinical diagnosis and treatment of spinal cord injury without evidence of abnormality in children: a review. *Int Surg J* 2020, **7**(11): 3847.
- [26] Song KJ, Kim GH, Lee KB. The efficacy of the modified classification system of soft tissue injury in extension injury of the lower cervical spine. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2008, **33**(15): E488–E493.
- [27] Fehlings MG, Martin AR, Tetreault LA, et al. A clinical practice guideline for the management of patients with acute spinal cord injury: Recommendations on the role of baseline magnetic resonance imaging in clinical decision making and outcome prediction. *Global Spine J* 2017, **7**(3 Suppl): 221S–230S.
- [28] Freund P, Seif M, Weiskopf N, et al. MRI in traumatic spinal cord injury: from clinical assessment to neuroimaging biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol* 2019, **18**(12): 1123–1135.
- [29] Martin AR, Aleksanderek I, Cohen-Adad J, et al. Translating state-of-the-art spinal cord MRI techniques to clinical use: A systematic review of clinical studies utilizing DTI, MT, MWF, MRS, and fMRI. *Neuroimage Clin* 2016, **10**: 192–238.
- [30] Zhu FZ, Zeng L, Gui S, et al. The role of diffusion tensor imaging and diffusion tensor tractography in the assessment of acute traumatic thoracolumbar spinal cord injury. *World Neurosurg* 2021: S1878–S8750(21)00177–7.
- [31] Anand T, Hanna K, Kulvatunyou N, et al. Time to

- tracheostomy impacts overall outcomes in patients with cervical spinal cord injury. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2020, **89**(2): 358–364.
- [32] Yilmaz T, Kaptanoğlu E. Current and future medical therapeutic strategies for the functional repair of spinal cord injury. *World J Orthop* 2015, **6**(1): 42–55.
- [33] Ropper AE, Ropper AH. Acute spinal cord compression. *N Engl J Med* 2017, **376**(14): 1358–1369.
- [34] Alizo, Georgina, Sciarretta, et al. Multidisciplinary team approach to traumatic spinal cord injuries: a single institution's quality improvement project. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* 2018, **44**(2): 245–250.
- [35] Rhodes LN, Weatherford B, Locke LN, et al. A multidisciplinary approach to providing care to adolescents with spinal cord trauma resulting from all-terrain vehicle accidents. *J Trauma Nurs* 2015, **22**(1): 23–27.
- [36] Bach JA, Leskovan JJ, Scharschmidt T, et al. The right team at the right time - Multidisciplinary approach to multi-trauma patient with orthopedic injuries. *Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci* 2017, **7**(1): 32–37.
- [37] Wang YL, Zhu FZ, Zeng L, et al. Guideline for diagnosis and treatment of spine trauma in the epidemic of COVID-19. *Chin J Traumatol* 2020, **23**(4): 196–201.
- [38] Wang YL, Zeng L, Yao S, et al. Recommendations of protective measures for orthopedic surgeons during COVID-19 pandemic. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2020, **28**(7): 2027–2035.
- [39] Schilero GJ, Bauman WA, Radulovic M. Traumatic spinal cord injury: pulmonary physiologic principles and management. *Clin Chest Med* 2018, **39**(2): 411–425.
- [40] China Trauma Rescue and Treatment Association. Consensus on the establishment of urban trauma rescue system in China (in Chinese). *Chin J Surg* 2017, **55**(11): 830–833.
- [41] Bracken MB, Shepard MJ, Collins WF, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of methylprednisolone or naloxone in the treatment of acute spinal-cord injury. Results of the Second National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study. *N Engl J Med* 1990, **322**(20): 1405–1411.
- [42] Liu Z, Yang Y, He L, et al. High-dose methylprednisolone for acute traumatic spinal cord injury: a meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2019, **93**(9): e841–e850.
- [43] Bracken MB. Steroids for acute spinal cord injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002(3): CD001046.
- [44] Evaniew N, Noonan VK, Fallah N, et al. Methylprednisolone for the treatment of patients with acute spinal cord injuries: a propensity score-matched cohort study from a Canadian multi-center spinal cord injury registry. *J Neurotrauma* 2015, **32**(21):1674–83.
- [45] Hurlbert RJ, Hadley MN, Walters BC, et al. Pharmacological therapy for acute spinal cord injury. *Neurosurgery* 2013, **72**(Suppl 2): 93–105.
- [46] Fehlings MG, Wilson JR, Tetreault LA, et al. A clinical practice guideline for the management of patients with acute spinal cord injury: recommendations on the use of methylprednisolone sodium succinate. *Global Spine J* 2017, **7**(3 Suppl): 203S–211S.
- [47] Xu DY, Yang LB, Li YP, et al. Clinical study of ganglioside (GM) combined with methylprednisolone (MP) for early acute spinal injury. *Pak J Pharm Sci* 2015, **28**(2 Suppl): 701–704.
- [48] Latov N, Koski CL, Walicke PA. Guillain-Barré syndrome and parenteral gangliosides. *Lancet* 1991, **338**(9): 757.
- [49] Landi G, D'Alessandro R, Dossi BC, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome after exogenous gangliosides in Italy. *BMJ* 1993, **307**(6917): 1463–1464.
- [50] Cozzens JW, Prall JA, Holly L. The 2012 guidelines for the management of acute cervical spine and spinal cord injury. *Neurosurgery* 2013, **72**(Suppl 2): 2–3.
- [51] Ahuja CS, Wilson JR, Nori S, et al. Traumatic spinal cord injury. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2017, **3**: 17018.
- [52] Tran AP, Warren PM, Silver J. The biology of regeneration failure and success after spinal cord injury. *Physiol Rev* 2018, **98**(2): 881–917.
- [53] Venkatesh K, Ghosh SK, Mullick M, et al. Spinal cord injury: pathophysiology, treatment strategies, associated challenges, and future implications. *Cell Tissue Res* 2019, **377**(2): 125–151.
- [54] Altaf F, Griesdale DE, Belanger L, et al. The differential effects of norepinephrine and dopamine on cerebrospinal fluid pressure and spinal cord perfusion pressure after acute human spinal cord

- injury. *Spinal Cord* 2017, **55**(1): 33–38
- [55] Inoue T, Manley GT, Patel N, et al. Medical and surgical management after spinal cord injury: vasopressor usage, early surgeries, and complications. *J Neurotrauma* 2014, **31**(3): 284–291.
- [56] Readdy WJ, Whetstone WD, Ferguson AR, et al. Complications and outcomes of vasopressor usage in acute traumatic central cord syndrome. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2015, **23**(5): 574–580.
- [57] Evaniew N, Mazlouman SJ, Belley-Côté EP, et al. Interventions to optimize spinal cord perfusion in patients with acute traumatic spinal cord injuries: a systematic review. *J Neurotrauma* 2020, **37**(9): 1127–1139.
- [58] Alkabi S, Boileau AJ. The role of therapeutic hypothermia after traumatic spinal cord injury—A systematic review. *World Neurosurg* 2016, **86**: 432–449.
- [59] Kafka J, Lukacova N, Sulla I, et al. Hypothermia in the course of acute traumatic spinal cord injury. *Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars)* 2020, **80**(2): 172–178.
- [60] Cappuccino A, Bisson LJ, Carpenter B, et al. Systemic hypothermia as treatment for an acute cervical spinal cord injury in a professional football player: 9-year follow-up. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)* 2017, **46**(2): E79–E82.
- [61] Tator CH, Deecke L. Value of normothermic perfusion, hypothermic perfusion, and durotomy in the treatment of experimental acute spinal cord trauma. *J Neurosurg* 1973, **39**(1): 52–64.
- [62] Arnaez J, Miranda M, Rinones E, et al. Whole-body cooling and erythropoietin in neonatal cervical spine injury. *Ther Hypothermia Temp Manag* 2019, **9**(2): 159–162.
- [63] Pelletier JH, Mann CH, German BT, et al. Therapeutic systemic hypothermia for a pediatric patient with an isolated cervical spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2020, **43**(2): 264–267.
- [64] Hansbout RR, Hansbout CR. Local cooling for traumatic spinal cord injury: outcomes in 20 patients and review of the literature. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2014, **20**(5): 550–561.
- [65] Gallagher MJ, Hogg FRA, Kearney S, et al. Effects of local hypothermia-rewarming on physiology, metabolism and inflammation of acutely injured human spinal cord. *Sci Rep* 2020, **10**(1): 8125.
- [66] Tzen YT, Brienza DM, Karg PE, et al. Effectiveness of local cooling for enhancing tissue ischemia tolerance in people with spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2013, **36**(4): 357–364.
- [67] Chinese Association of Orthopedic Surgeons. Evidence-based guideline for the management of acute subaxial cervical spine injury (in Chinese). *Chin J Surg* 2018, **56**(1): 5–9.
- [68] Zhu FZ, Yao S, Ren ZW, et al. Early durotomy with duroplasty for severe adult spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality: a novel concept and method of surgical decompression. *Eur Spine J* 2019, **28**(10): 2275–2282.
- [69] Qu YZ, Luo Z, Guo XD, et al. The durotomy or myelotomy for the spinal cord extensive swelling with/without intramedullary hemorrhage (in Chinese). *Chin J Orthop* 2015, **35**(7): 707–713.
- [70] Qu YZ, Guo XD. Durotomy and dural grafting to treat lower cervical spine injuries with extensive spinal cord edema. *Neural Regen Res* 2015, **10**(12): 1969–1970.
- [71] Telemacque D, Zhu FZ, Chen KF, et al. Method of decompression by durotomy and duroplasty for cervical spinal cord injury in patients without fracture or dislocation. *J Neurorestoratology* 2018, **6**(1): 158–164.
- [72] Telemacque D, Zhu FZ, Ren ZW, et al. Effects of durotomy versus myelotomy in the repair of spinal cord injury. *Neural Regen Res* 2020, **15**(10): 1814–1820.
- [73] Wang YL, Zhu FZ, Zeng L, et al. Surfer myelopathy in children: a case series study. *World Neurosurg* 2021, **148**: e227–e241.
- [74] Piazza M, Schuster J. Timing of surgery after spinal cord injury. *Neurosurg Clin N Am* 2017, **28**(1): 31–39.
- [75] Liu JM, Long XH, Zhou Y, et al. Is urgent decompression superior to delayed surgery for traumatic spinal cord injury? A meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg* 2016, **87**: 124–131.
- [76] Badhiwala JH, Ahuja CS, Fehlings MG. Time is spine: a review of translational advances in spinal cord injury. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2018, **30**(1): 1–18.
- [77] Fehlings MG, Tetreault LA, Aarabi B, et al. A clinical practice guideline for the management of patients with acute spinal cord injury: Recommendations on

- the type and timing of rehabilitation. *Global Spine J* 2017, **7**(3 suppl): 231S–238S.
- [78] Grassner L, Wutte C, Klein B, et al. Early decompression (< 8 h) after traumatic cervical spinal cord injury improves functional outcome as assessed by spinal cord independence measure after one year. *J Neurotrauma* 2016, **33**(18): 1658–1666.
- [79] Sewell MD, Vachhani K, Alrawi A, et al. Results of early and late surgical decompression and stabilization for acute traumatic cervical spinal cord injury in patients with concomitant chest injuries. *World Neurosurg* 2018, **118**: e161–e165.
- [80] Furlan JC, Craven BC, Massicotte EM, et al. Early versus delayed surgical decompression of spinal cord after traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: a cost-utility analysis. *World Neurosurg* 2016, **88**: 166–174.
- [81] Dvorak MF, Noonan VK, Fallah N, et al. The influence of time from injury to surgery on motor recovery and length of hospital stay in acute traumatic spinal cord injury: an observational Canadian cohort study. *J Neurotrauma* 2015, **32**(9): 645–654.
- [82] El Tecle NE, Dahdaleh NS, Hitchon PW. Timing of surgery in spinal cord injury. *Spine* 2016, **41**(16): E995–E1004.
- [83] Wilson JR, Tetreault LA, Kwon BK, et al. Timing of decompression in patients with acute spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Global Spine J* 2017, **7**(3 Suppl): 95S–115S.
- [84] Wutte C, Klein B, Becker J, et al. Earlier decompression (< 8 hours) results in better neurological and functional outcome after traumatic thoracolumbar spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma* 2019, **36**(12): 2020–2027.
- [85] Fehlings MG, Vaccaro A, Wilson JR, et al. Early versus delayed decompression for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: results of the Surgical Timing in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study (STASCIS). *PLoS One* 2012, **7**(2): e32037.
- [86] Wilson JR, Witiw CD, Badhiwala J, et al. Early surgery for traumatic spinal cord injury: where are we now? *Global Spine J* 2020, **10**(1 Suppl): 84S–91S.
- [87] TerWengel PV, De Witt Hamer PC, Pauptit JC, et al. early surgical decompression improves neurological outcome after complete traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: a meta-analysis. *J Neurotrauma* 2019, **36**(6): 835–844.
- [88] Vaccaro AR, Hulbert RJ, Patel AA, et al. The subaxial cervical spine injury classification system: a novel approach to recognize the importance of morphology, neurology, and integrity of the disco-ligamentous complex. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2007, **32**(21): 2365–2374.
- [89] Vaccaro AR, Lehman RA Jr, Hurlbert RJ, et al. A new classification of thoracolumbar injuries: the importance of injury morphology, the integrity of the posterior ligamentous complex, and neurologic status. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2005, **30**(20): 2325–2333.
- [90] Nassr A, Lee JY, Dvorak MF, et al. Variations in surgical treatment of cervical facet dislocations. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2008, **33**(7): E188–E193.
- [91] Nakashima H, Yukawa Y, Ito K, et al. Posterior approach for cervical fracture-dislocations with traumatic disc herniation. *Eur Spine J* 2011, **20**(3): 387–394.
- [92] Aarabi B, Olexa J, Chryssikos T, et al. Extent of spinal cord decompression in motor complete (American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale Grades A and B) traumatic spinal cord injury patients: post-operative magnetic resonance imaging analysis of standard operative approaches. *J Neurotrauma* 2019, **36**(6): 862–876.
- [93] Dai LY, Li H. Diagnosis and operation of lower cervical spine injury. *Chin J Surg* 2007, **45**(6): 396–401.
- [94] Grassner L, Andreas Grillhösl, Griessenauer C J, et al. Spinal meninges and their role in spinal cord injury: a neuroanatomical review. *J Neurotrauma* 2018, **35**(3): 403–410.
- [95] Sakka L, Gabrillargues J, Coll G. Anatomy of the spinal meninges. *Oper Neurosurgery (Hagerstown)*, 2015, **12**(2): 168–188.
- [96] Allen AR. Surgery of experimental lesion of spinal cord equivalent to crush injury of fracture dislocation of spinal column. *J Am Med Assoc* 1911, **LVII**(11): 878.
- [97] Rivlin AS, Tator CH. Effect of vasodilators and myelotomy on recovery after acute spinal cord injury in rats. *J Neurosurg* 1979, **50**(3): 349–352.
- [98] Kandziora F, Pingel A. Expert's comment concerning Grand Rounds case entitled: "Increased intrathecal

- pressure after traumatic spinal cord injury: an illustrative case presentation and a review of the literature" by Grassner L, Winkler PA, Strowitzki M, et al. (*Eur Spine J* (2016). doi:10.1007/s 00586-016-4769-9): Surgical treatment of SICS (spinal intradural compartment syndrome). *Eur Spine J* 2017, **26**(1): 26–27.
- [99] Tachibana S, Okada K, Ohwada T, et al. Posterior longitudinal myelotomy as a surgical treatment of acute cervical spinal cord injury (in Japanese). *No Shinkei Geka* 1984, **12**(2): 183–188.
- [100] Badhiwala JH, Wilson JR, Witiw CD, et al. The influence of timing of surgical decompression for acute spinal cord injury: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Neurol* 2021, **20**(2): 117–126.
- [101] Huang HY, Young W, Skaper S, et al. Clinical neurorestorative therapeutic guidelines for spinal cord injury (IANR/CANR version 2019). *J Orthop Translat* 2019, **20**: 14–24.
- [102] Huang H, Young W, Chen L, et al. Clinical cell therapy guidelines for neurorestoration (IANR/CANR 2017). *Cell Transplant* 2018, **27**(2): 310–324.
- [103] Guo X, Zahir T, Mothe A, et al. The effect of growth factors and soluble Nogo-66 receptor protein on transplanted neural stem/progenitor survival and axonal regeneration after complete transection of rat spinal cord. *Cell Transplant* 2012, **21**(6): 1177–1197.
- [104] Lammertse DP, Jones LA, Charlifue SB, et al. Autologous incubated macrophage therapy in acute, complete spinal cord injury: results of the phase 2 randomized controlled multicenter trial. *Spinal Cord* 2012, **50**(9): 661–671.
- [105] Galipeau J, Krampera M, Barrett J, et al. International Society for Cellular Therapy perspective on immune functional assays for mesenchymal stromal cells as potency release criterion for advanced phase clinical trials. *Cytotherapy* 2016, **18**(2): 151–159.
- [106] McDonald JW, Becker D. Spinal cord injury: promising interventions and realistic goals. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2003, **82**(10 Suppl): S38–S49.
- [107] Calvert JS, Grahn PJ, Zhao KD, et al. Emergence of epidural electrical stimulation to facilitate sensorimotor network functionality after spinal cord injury. *Neuromodulation* 2019, **22**(3): 244–252.
- [108] Fadeev F, Ereemeev A, Bashirov F, et al. Combined supra- and sub-lesional epidural electrical stimulation for restoration of the motor functions after spinal cord injury in mini pigs. *Brain Sci* 2020, **10**(10): 744.
- [109] Luo SY, Xu HN, Zuo Y, et al. A review of functional electrical stimulation treatment in spinal cord injury. *Neuromolecular Med* 2020, **22**(4): 447–463.
- [110] Dimitrijevic M, Krenn M, Mayr W, et al. *Human Spinal Cord Motor Control That Is Partially or Completely Disconnected from the Brain. Vol. 8*. American Scientific Publishers, 2016, pp 12–26.
- [111] Gassaway J, Jones ML, Sweatman WM, et al. Effects of peer mentoring on self-efficacy and hospital readmission after inpatient rehabilitation of individuals with spinal cord injury: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabilitation* 2017, **98**(8): 1526–1534.e2.
- [112] Huang HY, Xi HT, Chen L, et al. Long-term outcome of olfactory ensheathing cell therapy for patients with complete chronic spinal cord injury. *Cell Transplant* 2012, **21**(Suppl 1): S23–S31.
- [113] Urbin MA, Ozdemir RA, Tazoe T, et al. Spike-timing-dependent plasticity in lower-limb motoneurons after human spinal cord injury. *J Neurophysiol* 2017, **118**(4): 2171–2180.
- [114] Itzkovich M, Gelernter I, Biering-Sorensen F, et al. The Spinal Cord Independence Measure (SCIM) version III: reliability and validity in a multi-center international study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2007, **29**(24): 1926–1933.
- [115] Mingaila S, Krisciūnas A. Occupational therapy for patients with spinal cord injury in early rehabilitation. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2005, **41**(10): 852–856.
- [116] Grigorean VT, Sandu AM, Popescu M, et al. Cardiac dysfunctions following spinal cord injury. *J Med Life* 2009, **2**(2): 133–145.
- [117] Mazensky D, Flesarova S, Sulla I. Arterial blood supply to the spinal cord in animal models of spinal cord injury. A review. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2017, **300**(12): 2091–2106.
- [118] Haldrup M, Dyrskog S, Thygesen MM, et al. Initial blood pressure is important for long-term outcome

- after traumatic spinal cord injury. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2020; 1–5.
- [119] Tee JW, Altaf F, Belanger L, et al. Mean arterial blood pressure management of acute traumatic spinal cord injured patients during the pre-hospital and early admission period. *J Neurotrauma* 2017, **34**(6): 1271–1277.
- [120] Gallagher MJ, Hogg FRA, Zoumprouli A, et al. Spinal cord blood flow in patients with acute spinal cord injuries. *J Neurotrauma* 2019, **36**(6): 919–929.
- [121] Hawryluk G, Whetstone W, Saigal R, et al. Mean arterial blood pressure correlates with neurological recovery after human spinal cord injury: analysis of high frequency physiologic data. *J Neurotrauma* 2015, **32**(24): 1958–1967.
- [122] Readdy WJ, Dhall SS. Vasopressor administration in spinal cord injury: should we apply a universal standard to all injury patterns? *Neural Regen Res* 2016, **11**(3): 420–421.
- [123] Ohbe H, Koakutsu T, Kushimoto S. Analysis of risk factors for hyponatremia in patients with acute spinal cord injury: a retrospective single-institution study in Japan. *Spinal Cord* 2019, **57**(3): 240–246.
- [124] Song PW, Dong FL, Feng CC, et al. A study of predictors for hyponatraemia in patients with cervical spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2018, **56**(1): 84–89.
- [125] Sabharwal S, Fox AD, Vives MJ. The use of inferior vena cava filters in spine trauma: a nationwide study using the National Trauma Data Bank. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2019, **42**(2): 228–235.
- [126] Tollefsen E, Fondenes O. Respiratory complications associated with spinal cord injury. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2012, **132**(9): 1111–1114.
- [127] Wu Q, Li YL, Ning GZ, et al. Epidemiology of traumatic cervical spinal cord injury in Tianjin, China. *Spinal Cord* 2012, **50**(10):740–744.
- [128] Arber S. Motor circuits in action: specification, connectivity, and function. *Neuron* 2012, **74**(6): 975–989.
- [129] Carlsson CA, Sundin T. Reconstruction of efferent pathways to the urinary bladder in a paraplegic child. *Rev Surg* 1967, **24**(1): 73–76.
- [130] Carlsson CA, Sundin T. Reconstruction of afferent and efferent nervous pathways to the urinary bladder in two paraplegic patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1980, **5**(1): 37–41.
- [131] Zhang SC, Johnston L, Zhang ZW, et al. Restoration of stepping-forward and ambulatory function in patients with paraplegia: rerouting of vascularized intercostal nerves to lumbar nerve roots using selected interfascicular anastomosis. *Surg Technol Int* 2003, **11**:244–248.
- [132] Yang ML, Li JJ, Zhang SC, et al. Functional restoration of the paralyzed diaphragm in high cervical quadriplegia via phrenic nerve neurotization utilizing the functional spinal accessory nerve. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2011, **15**(2): 190–194.
- [133] Xiao CG, Godec CJ. A possible new reflex pathway for micturition after spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia* 1994, **32**(5): 300–307.
- [134] Xiao CG, Du MX, Dai CP, et al. An artificial somatic-central nervous system-autonomic reflex pathway for controllable micturition after spinal cord injury: preliminary results in 15 patients. *J Urol* 2003, **170**(4 Pt 1): 1237–1241.
- [135] Zhou X, Liu Y, Ma J, et al. Extradural nerve anastomosis technique for bladder reinnervation in spinal cord injury anatomical feasibility study in human cadavers. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2014, **39**(8): 635–641.
- [136] Yang KX, Chen HT, Tang J, et al. Anatomical feasibility of extradural transferring S2 and S3 ventral roots to S1 ventral root for restoring neurogenic bladder in spinal cord injury. *Spine* 2018, **43**(18): E1046–E1052.
- [137] Lin HD, Hou CL, Zhong GB, et al. Reconstruction of reflex pathways to the atonic bladder after conus medullaris injury: preliminary clinical results. *Microsurgery* 2008, **28**(6):429–435.
- [138] Brunelli G, von Wild K. Unsuspected plasticity of single neurons after connection of the corticospinal tract with peripheral nerves in spinal cord lesions. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc* 2009, **46**(1): 1–4.
- [139] Bertelli JA, Ghizoni MF. Nerve transfers for restoration of finger flexion in patients with tetraplegia. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2017, **26**(1): 55–61.
- [140] Yu BF, Qiu YQ, Du MX, et al. Contralateral hemi-fifth-lumbar nerve transfer for unilateral lower limb dysfunction due to incomplete traumatic spinal cord injury: a report of two cases. *Microsurgery* 2020, **40**(2): 234–240.

- [141] Ding WB, Zhang SC, Wu DJ, et al. Hand function recovery using nerve segment insert grafting in patients with chronic incomplete lower cervical spinal cord injury: a preliminary clinical report. *J Neurorestoratology* 2019, **7**(3): 129–135.
- [142] Harkema S, Gerasimenko Y, Hodes J, et al. Effect of epidural stimulation of the lumbosacral spinal cord on voluntary movement, standing, and assisted stepping after motor complete paraplegia: a case study. *Lancet* 2011, **377**(9781):1938–1947.
- [143] Soler MD, Kumru H, Pelayo R, et al. Effectiveness of transcranial direct current stimulation and visual illusion on neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury. *Brain* 2010, **133**(9): 2565–2577.
- [144] Kern H, Carraro U, Adami N, et al. One year of home-based daily FES in complete lower motor neuron paraplegia: recovery of tetanic contractility drives the structural improvements of denervated muscle. *Neurol Res* 2010, **32**(1): 5–12.
- [145] Ho CH, Triolo RJ, Elias AL, et al. Functional electrical stimulation and spinal cord injury. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2014, **25**(3): 631–654.
- [146] Stabingas K, Bergman J, Patterson M, et al. Peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation for the treatment of spinal cord injury at-level pain: case report, literature review, and 5-year follow-up. *Heliyon* 2020, **6**(7): e04515.
- [147] Gill M, Linde M, Fautsch K, et al. Epidural electrical stimulation of the lumbosacral spinal cord improves trunk stability during seated reaching in two humans with severe thoracic spinal cord injury. *Front Syst Neurosci* 2020, **14**: 79.
- [148] Peña Pino I, Hoover C, Venkatesh S, et al. Long-term spinal cord stimulation after chronic complete spinal cord injury enables volitional movement in the absence of stimulation. *Front Syst Neurosci* 2020, **14**: 35.
- [149] Wood H. Neural repair and rehabilitation: Achieving complex control of a neuroprosthetic arm. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2013, **9**(2): 62.
- [150] Collinger JL, Wodlinger B, Downey JE, et al. High-performance neuroprosthetic control by an individual with tetraplegia. *Lancet* 2013, **381**(9866): 557–564.
- [151] Ganzer PD, Colachis SC 4th, Schwemmer MA, et al. Restoring the sense of touch using a sensorimotor demultiplexing neural interface. *Cell* 2020, **181**(4): 763–773.e12.
- [152] Louie DR, Eng JJ, Lam T, et al. Gait speed using powered robotic exoskeletons after spinal cord injury: a systematic review and correlational study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2015, **12**: 82.
- [153] Rojek A, Mika A, Oleksy Ł, et al. Effects of exoskeleton gait training on balance, load distribution, and functional status in stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Front Neurol* 2020, **10**: 1344.
- [154] Swank C, Almutairi S, Wang-Price S, et al. Immediate kinematic and muscle activity changes after a single robotic exoskeleton walking session post-stroke. *Top Stroke Rehabil* 2020, **27**(7): 5033–515.
- [155] Huang HY, Chen L, Wang HM, et al. Influence of patients' age on functional recovery after transplantation of olfactory ensheathing cells into injured spinal cord injury. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2003, **116**(10): 1488–1491.
- [156] Rabinovich SS, Seledtsov VI, Poveschenko OV, et al. Transplantation treatment of spinal cord injury patients. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2003, **57**(9): 428–433.
- [157] Tabakow P, Raisman G, Fortuna W, et al. Functional regeneration of supraspinal connections in a patient with transected spinal cord following transplantation of bulbar olfactory ensheathing cells with peripheral nerve bridging. *Cell Transplant* 2014, **23**(12): 1631–1655.
- [158] Iwatsuki K, Tajima F, Ohnishi YI, et al. A pilot clinical study of olfactory mucosa autograft for chronic complete spinal cord injury. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2016, **56**(6): 285–292.
- [159] Nakhjavan-Shahraki B, Yousefifard M, Rahimi-Movaghar V, et al. Transplantation of olfactory ensheathing cells on functional recovery and neuropathic pain after spinal cord injury; systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2018, **8**(1): 325.
- [160] Chen HJ, Tan QJ, Xie CJ, et al. Application of olfactory ensheathing cells in clinical treatment of spinal cord injury: meta-analysis and prospect. *J Neurorestoratology* 2019, **7**(2): 70–81.
- [161] Kang KS, Kim SW, Oh YH, et al. A 37-year-old spinal cord injured female patient, transplanted of

- multipotent stem cells from human UC blood, with improved sensory perception and mobility, both functionally and morphologically: a case study. *Cytotherapy* 2005, **7**(4): 368–373.
- [162] Chernykh ER, Stupak VV, Muradov GM, et al. Application of autologous bone marrow stem cells in the therapy of spinal cord injury patients. *Bull Exp Biol Med* 2007, **143**(4): 543–547.
- [163] Ra JC, Shin IS, Kim SH, et al. Safety of intravenous infusion of human adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells in animals and humans. *Stem Cells Dev* 2011, **20**(8): 1297–1308.
- [164] Bhanot Y, Rao S, Ghosh D, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stem cells in chronic spinal cord injury. *Br J Neurosurg* 2011, **25**(4): 516–522.
- [165] Mendonça MV, Larocca TF, de Freitas Souza BS, et al. Safety and neurological assessments after autologous transplantation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in subjects with chronic spinal cord injury. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2014, **5**(6): 126.
- [166] Yang Y, Pang M, Du C, et al. Repeated subarachnoid administrations of allogeneic human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells for spinal cord injury: a phase 1/2 pilot study. *Cytotherapy* 2021, **23**(1): 57–64.
- [167] Deda H, Inci MC, Kürekçi AE, et al. Treatment of chronic spinal cord injured patients with autologous bone marrow-derived hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: 1-year follow-up. *Cytotherapy* 2008, **10**(6): 565–574.
- [168] Al-Zoubi A, Jafar E, Jamous M, et al. Transplantation of purified autologous leukapheresis-derived CD34+ and CD133+ stem cells for patients with chronic spinal cord injuries: long-term evaluation of safety and efficacy. *Cell Transplant* 2014, **23**(Suppl 1): S25–S34.
- [169] Kumar AA, Kumar SR, Narayanan R, et al. Autologous bone marrow derived mononuclear cell therapy for spinal cord injury: A phase I/II clinical safety and primary efficacy data. *Exp Clin Transplant* 2009, **7**(4): 241–248.
- [170] Zhu H, Poon W, Liu YS, et al. Phase I-II clinical trial assessing safety and efficacy of umbilical cord blood mononuclear cell transplant therapy of chronic complete spinal cord injury. *Cell Transplant* 2016, **25**(11): 1925–1943.
- [171] Saberi H, Firouzi M, Habibi Z, et al. Safety of intramedullary Schwann cell transplantation for postrehabilitation spinal cord injuries: 2-year follow-up of 33 cases. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2011, **15**(5): 515–525.
- [172] Zhou XH, Ning GZ, Feng SQ, et al. Transplantation of autologous activated Schwann cells in the treatment of spinal cord injury: six cases, more than five years of follow-up. *Cell Transplant* 2012, **21**(Suppl 1): S39–S47.
- [173] Kanno H, Pearse DD, Ozawa H, et al. Schwann cell transplantation for spinal cord injury repair: its significant therapeutic potential and prospectus. *Rev Neurosci* 2015, **26**(2): 121–128.
- [174] Shroff G. Magnetic resonance imaging tractography as a diagnostic tool in patients with spinal cord injury treated with human embryonic stem cells. *Neuroradiol J* 2017, **30**(1): 71–79.
- [175] Oh SK, Choi KH, Yoo JY, et al. A phase III clinical trial showing limited efficacy of autologous mesenchymal stem cell therapy for spinal cord injury. *Neurosurgery* 2016, **78**(3): 436–447.
- [176] Wang S, Lu JK, Li YA, et al. Autologous olfactory lamina propria transplantation for chronic spinal cord injury: three-year follow-up outcomes from a prospective double-blinded clinical trial. *Cell Transplant* 2016, **25**(1): 141–157.
- [177] Xiao ZF, Tang FW, Zhao YN, et al. Significant improvement of acute complete spinal cord injury patients diagnosed by a combined criteria implanted with NeuroRegen scaffolds and mesenchymal stem cells. *Cell Transplant* 2018, **27**(6): 907–915.
- [178] Zhao YN, Tang FW, Xiao ZF, et al. Clinical study of NeuroRegen scaffold combined with human mesenchymal stem cells for the repair of chronic complete spinal cord injury. *Cell Transplant* 2017, **26**(5): 891–900.
- [179] Huang HY, Sharma H, Chen L, et al. Review of clinical neurorestorative strategies for spinal cord injury: exploring history and latest progresses. *J Neurorestoratology* 2018, **6**: 171–178.
- [180] Oraee-Yazdani S, Hafizi M, Atashi A, et al. Co-transplantation of autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells and Schwann cells through cerebral spinal fluid for the treatment of patients

- with chronic spinal cord injury: safety and possible outcome. *Spinal Cord* 2016, **54**(2): 102–109.
- [181] Bohbot A. Olfactory ensheathing glia transplantation combined with LASERPONCTURE in human spinal cord injury: Results measured by electromyography monitoring. *Cell Transplant* 2010, **19**(2): 179–184.
- [182] Moviglia GA, Fernandez Viña R, Brizuela JA, et al. Combined protocol of cell therapy for chronic spinal cord injury. Report on the electrical and functional recovery of two patients. *Cytotherapy* 2006, **8**(3): 202–209.
- [183] Ichim TE, Solano F, Lara F, et al. Feasibility of combination allogeneic stem cell therapy for spinal cord injury: a case report. *Int Arch Med* 2010, **3**: 30.
- [184] Donati AR, Shokur S, Morya E, et al. Long-term training with a brain–machine interface-based gait protocol induces partial neurological recovery in paraplegic patients. *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**: 0383.
- [185] Angeli CA, Boakye M, Morton RA, et al. Recovery of over-ground walking after chronic motor complete spinal cord injury. *N Engl J Med* 2018, **379**(13): 1244–1250.
- [186] Gill ML, Grahn PJ, Calvert JS, et al. Neuromodulation of lumbosacral spinal networks enables independent stepping after complete paraplegia. *Nat Med* 2018, **24**(11): 1677–1682.
- [187] Abeynayake N, Arthur A, Gronthos S. Crosstalk between skeletal and neural tissues is critical for skeletal health. *Bone* 2021, **142**: 115645.
- [188] Frotzler A, Krebs J, Göhring A, et al. Osteoporosis in the lower extremities in chronic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2020, **58**(4): 441–448.
- [189] Lin T, Tong W, Chandra A, et al. A comprehensive study of long-term skeletal changes after spinal cord injury in adult rats. *Bone Res* 2015, **3**: 15028.
- [190] Soleyman-Jahi S, Yousefian A, Maheronnaghsh R, et al. Evidence-based prevention and treatment of osteoporosis after spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Eur Spine J* 2018, **27**(8): 1798–1814.